ISOLATION OF FUNGI FROM VARIOUS AGRICULATURAL FIELDS AS A FUNCTION OF SOIL DEPTH AND SEASONAL VARIABILITY

Abdul Hameed^{1*}, Muddasir Asrar¹, Abdul Aziz² and Hidayatullah³

¹PhD Scholar at Centre for Advanced Studies in Vaccinology and Biotechnology (CASVAB), University of Balochistan, ¹Botany Department, University of Balochistan, ²Agriculture Extension Quetta, ³Agriculture Research Institute Sariab Quetta. Email*: kaintkk@gmail.com

Article received 28.12.2015; Revised 02.02.2016; Accepted 05.02.2016

ABSTRACT

Study of population and species occurrence of fungi as a function of soil depth and seasons was conducted during 2009 and 10. The samples were collected from ten agricultural fields at Quetta district. Soil sampling was conducted during four seasons such as February, 2009 and 10 (S1 and S3) and August, 2009 and 10 (S2 and S4) across soil depth of 0-06 and 06-12 inches. The serial dilution plate technique was used for isolation of fungi which were significantly affected by seasonal variability and soil depth. The results exhibited higher fungal (18.30 cfu g⁻¹soil) population in location 9 at upper depth in S₁ and lower (6.50 cfu g⁻¹soil) in location 8 at lower depth. From each location 18 fungal species were isolated and *Aspergillus niger* was found dominant fungal species in all locations. From this study it was suggested that less perturbed soil like orchards showed more O.M. contents indicating higher available nutrients and high fungal population than field crops which were subjected to more perturbation.

Keywords: Agricultural fields, fungal population, species, soil depth, seasons

INTRODUCTION

A single gram of fertile soil reveal the biological universe having all microbiota such as bacteria, archaea and eukarya with life indispensible elements including H, C,N,P,O and S. These elements are transformed through biogeochemical process between abiotic and biotic matter across the interfaces of soil, air and water (Madsen, 2008). The biogeochemical cycling of the nutrients is depending on soil microorganisms. All the soil microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, archaea and algae, soil animals including protozoa, nematodes, mites, springtails, spiders, insects and earthworms as well as plants form the soil biota (SOI, 2001) that are living entirely in soil or part of their lives in soil that affected soil use and management (Brady and Weil, 2002). Soil organisms play a major role in the pedosphere and their prominent task is regulation of biogeochemical transformations like mineralization and immobilization of organic matter, nutrient cycling, transmission and prevention of diseases, decomposition of pollutant and improvement in soil aggregation (Gupta et al., 1997). In addition, the soil micro biota is also responsible for regulation of greenhouse gases $(CO_2 CH_4 \text{ and } N_2O)$ during the metabolic oxidation or reduction of carbon and nitrogen compounds in soil which are further stimulated by soil management practices like N fertilization and tillage operations (Madsen, 2008; GGWG, 2010).

Fungi are an indispensible part of soil micro biota and its microbial biomass is greater than bacteria (Ainsworth and Bisby, 1995) depending on soil depth and nutrient conditions. in soil, its exist in the form of actively growing organisms and as latent particles (Warcup, 1957). The function of fungi in soil is tremendously multifarious and vital to the whole soil ecosystem (Bridge and Spooner, 2001) because micro-fungi have major contribution in the global nutrient cycle and they decompose complex structures of plant origin like cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin in soil and mineralized nutrients in these plant debris (Christensen, 1989). The multiplicity of soil micro fungi is indispensible for the stability and productivity of ecosystem and many of them are the potential source of various chemicals of industrial importance like pharmaceutics and agrochemical (Petersen and Hughes, 1999.). The flora of fungi is characterized to innate soil and is extensively distribution in soil which is affected by the levels of soil organic carbon, environmental conditions, soil physical and chemical nature, surface cover with plants and vegetation (Devi et al., 2012). The population of micro fungi in forest soil is comparatively higher than those in agricultural soil where the physical, chemical and biological environ is remain undisturbed and not subjected to land use management. On the other hand, low fungal population in agriculture soil is due to low soil organic carbon and also

because of the pesticides and fungicides contamination for a long time (Bissett and Parkinson, 1979; Osono and Hirose, 2009). According to Song et al., (2004) that the fungal population and diversity is also affected by some physical and chemical characteristics of soil like pH, temperature, moisture and texture along with land topography such as altitude. In a study conducted by Devi et al., (2012) that the number of fungal isolates and CFU counts were found to decrease with increase in altitude and opposite was recorded at lower latitude. The identification and classification of fungi is generally carried out by using the morphological characteristics particularly their sexual structures showing distinct variability (Burnett, 2003).

Soil is the natural habitat of soil microorganisms and is vital component of ecosystem that includes soil fungi, bacteria, actinomycetes and others. Soil fungi are responsible for the decomposition of organic matter, nutrients availability and improve soil quality sustainably (Hackel *et al.*, 2004). Soil physical, chemical and biological properties are controlled by soil microorganisms (Tangjang and Arunachalam, 2009) and its soil biomass is greater than bacteria (Ainsworth and Bisby, 1995). For the study of soil microbial communities in different agricultural soils, Franklin and Mills (2003) used sampling depth from 2.5 to 11 cm and indicated that soil microbial communities makes different organizational levels which are directly dependent on soil properties. Similarly, the soil microbial population in agricultural soil is also affected by seasonal variability (Grantina *et al.*, 2012). Keeping in view of the importance of fungi in agriculture soil system, the present study was conducted to evaluate the assessment of fungi in different agricultural soil as affected by seasonal and spatial variation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area: Study was conducted in area encompassed the district Quetta, the capital of Balochistan province (Pakistan), where 10 different agricultural fields comprising of five apple orchards (location 6-10), one grape vineyard (location 5) and four different crop fields such as wheat crop field (location 3), vegetable field (location 4), floriculture field (location 1) and botanical garden (location 2) were selected. The latitude and longitude were recorded as sampling points using GPS and details pertaining to all ten locations along with their respective location name, latitude, longitude and with more detail of locations are given in **Table 1**.

| S.No. | Name of location | Latitude | Longitude | Detail |
|-------|------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--|
| 1 | Rani Bagh | 30°10'19.11"N | 66°59'41.69"E | Floriculture field, Agriculture |
| 2 | Botanical garden | 30°9≊54.57"N | 66º59 [™] 30.29"E | Extension, Rani Bagh Quetta University of Balochistan |
| 3 | Exp. field of Agronomy | 30° 6'50.27"N | 66°58'44.17"'E | Agriculture Research Institute (ARI) |
| | | | | Quetta |
| 4 | Vegetable Seed farm | 30°6'26.99"N | 66°58'18.92"E | Agriculture Research Institute |
| | | | | (ARI) Quetta |
| 5 | Grape vine | 30°9'53.10"N | 66°58'21.24"E | Qirani road, Quetta. |
| 6 | Chisma Achozai | 30°16'36.67"N | 66°58'46.49"E | Apple orchard Chisma Achozai, |
| | | | | Quetta. |
| 7 | Agriculture College | 30°15'58.99"N | 66°55'47.90"E | Apple orchard, Agriculture |
| | | | | College Baleli Quetta. |
| 8 | SAKA | 30°11'48.12"N | 66°57'41.33"E | Apple orchard, SAKA cold |
| | | | | storage Barori, Quetta. |
| 9 | Hanna urak | 30°16'31.95"N | 67°10'23.28"E | Apple orchard Hanna Quetta |
| 10 | Kuchlak | 30°24'12.04"N | 66°58'59.73"E | Sahibzada apple orchard kuchlak, |
| | | | | Quetta. |

Table - 1: Ten agricultural fields/orchards selected for sampling to study fungi as a function of soil depth and seasonal variability during 2009-10

Soil sampling and analysis: Soil sampling was collected during four seasons such as S1 (February, 2009), S2 (August, 2009), S3 (February 2010), S4 (August 2010) with two depths (0-02 and 02-04 inches) from all locations at three position 20 feet apart as replicates.

During sampling, Soil auger was disinfected with ethanol for each depth and location. The samples were kept in sterilized polythene bags and delivered to Laboratory of CASVEB University of Balochistan in boxes having small ice blocks enclosed in polythene bags and stored in the refrigerator at 4°C for microbial study.

Pre-soil analysis of ten agriculture fields in Quetta district was carried out at Soil and Water Testing Laboratory, ARI, Sariab Quetta for determination of the physico-chemical properties and nutrient status of soil (Table 2). The ratio of three soil separates was found by hydrometer method (Bouyoucos, 1962), pH and EC in 1:5 ratio of soil water suspension (McKeague, 1978; McLean, 1982), organic matter by Walkley and Black (1934) method, total nitrogen by Kjeldhal method (Jones, 1991). AB-DTPA method was followed for extracting phosphorus, potassium, copper, iron, manganese and zinc from soil (Soltanpur and Schwab, 1977).

Isolation of fungi: Fungi in ten different agricultural soils were assessed by dilution plate method (Johnson and Curl, 1972; Frankland et al., 1990; Parkinson et al., 1971; Trevors, 1998; Tabacchioni et al., 2000). One gram soil was serially diluted from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁶ with sterilized distilled water using 250 ml flasks. 1 ml from the soil water suspension of 10⁻³ to 10⁻⁶ dilution was taken and used in different media plates. Three types of media were used for isolation of fungi viz, Potato Dextrose Agar, Dextrose-peptoneyeast extract Agar (DPYA) and V-8 Agar (Smith and Onions, 1994). These media were freshly prepared according to their respective recipes given in the mycological laboratory manual (Anon., 1984; Smith and Onions, 1994). After sterilization in autoclave at 121 C° with 15 lb in-2 for 20 minutes and cooling at 45 °C, the pH of the media was adjusted to neutral and slightly alkaline using sterilized potassium hydroxides or hydrochloric acid (Penn, 1991). All the petri dishes, test tubes, inoculating needle, and other materials were sterilized in oven at 230 °C for 60 minutes before its usage in this study. Approximately 14 ml media was poured in the petri dishes following the standard laboratory procedure and then 1 ml of soil water suspension (from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁶) were added in the form of streak. Finally, the petri dishes containing media and soil suspension were incubated at 25 C° for 5 days. The total number of colonies developed during incubation were counted using colony counter as per location site and its replication. These different colonies were identified with respect to specific fungal species using macro and microscopic technique following the method of Booth (1971) and Onions *et al.* (1981). Lactophenol was used as mountant and aniline blue and trypan blue were used for staining (Parkinson *et al.*, 1971; Frankland *et al.*, 1990).

Statistical analysis: Three way factorial analysis was carried out for fungal population while descriptive statistics was adopted for species occurrence using Statistix 8.1 computer software. The LSD value for mean comparison was calculated only if the general treatment F test was significant at probability of ≤ 0.05 (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This study was conducted during 2009 and 2010 at Quetta district to evaluate fungal population and species occurrence of ten different agriculture fields as affected by various seasons and soil depths. The soil of the sampling points also analyzed for physicochemical were properties and nutrient status so that its effect on soil fungi can be studied. The soil physical properties were investigated in the form of three soil separates such as sand, silt and clay, Textural class and O.M. contents. Chemical properties were analyzed for electrical conductivity (EC), pH, total N, available P, K, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn. While soil fungi which were isolated from bulk soil and their respective population were determined in the form of colony forming unit per gram soil (cfu g-1 soil). Similar microbial isolation such as soil bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes counts was also investigated by Sonya et al. (2012).

The Pre-soil analysis of ten agricultural fields as given in Table -2 revealed that out of 10 locations, 6 were found sandy loam (i.e. location 1-5 and 8), 2 were clay loam (location 6 and 9) and the other two were sandy clay loam (location 7 and 10) in texture and were alkaline in nature having pH from 7.88 to 8.09, 8.10, 8.01, 8.16, 8.05, 8.00, 8.30, 8.23 and 8.21. The electrical conductivity of all locations was less than 4 dSm⁻¹. The organic matter contents of these locations were from 0.82 to 0.94, 1.26, 0.63, 0.57, 0.79, 1.01, 0.46, 1.25 and 1.08%. The fertility status of these agricultural fields indicated that the nitrogen and phosphorus were deficient whereas, iron and zinc were in low range but copper and manganese were in sufficient range. However, the potassium level of all locations was high (Table 2).

| Soil | Units | | | | 1 | Agricult | ural fie | lds | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|--------|-------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| properties | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Sand | % | 66.0 | 60.0 | 65.0 | 59.1 | 63.0 | 40.0 | 55.0 | 67.5 | 36.0 | 58.0 |
| Silt | % | 18.0 | 27.7 | 17.0 | 21.9 | 19.0 | 29.0 | 20.0 | 16.3 | 29.4 | 15.6 |
| Clay | % | 16.0 | 12.3 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 31.0 | 25.0 | 16.2 | 34.6 | 26.4 |
| Textural | | Sandy | Sandy | Sandy | Sandy | Sandy | Clay | Sandy | Sandy | Clay | Sandy |
| class | | loam | loam | loam | loam | loam | loam | clay loam | loam | loam | clay loam |
| pН | | 7.88 | 8.09 | 8.10 | 8.01 | 8.16 | 8.05 | 8.00 | 8.30 | 8.23 | 8.21 |
| EC | dSm ⁻¹ | 2.92 | 4.11 | 3.68 | 3.73 | 3.09 | 3.71 | 2.58 | 3.79 | 4.55 | 3.69 |
| Organic matter | % | 0.82 | 0.94 | 1.26 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.79 | 1.01 | 0.46 | 1.25 | 1.08 |
| Total nitrogen | % | 0.050 | 0.054 | 0.071 | 0.040 | 0.035 | 0.055 | 0.059 | 0.031 | 0.074 | 0.061 |
| AB-DTPA ext | ractable | P,K, Cu | ı, Fe, Mn | and Zn | | | | | | | |
| Phosphorus | ppm | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 1.93 | 2.37 | 1.40 | 0.77 | 2.00 | 1.92 |
| Potassium | ppm | 185 | 172 | 160 | 170 | 226 | 136 | 157 | 240 | 335 | 279 |
| Copper | ppm | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.56 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.60 | 0.44 | 0.74 | 0.51 |
| Iron | ppm | 3.17 | 2.97 | 3.87 | 3.24 | 2.64 | 2.48 | 3.45 | 2.67 | 3.24 | 2.93 |
| Mn | ppm | 0.80 | 0.93 | 1.20 | 0.82 | 0.72 | 0.86 | 1.10 | 0.69 | 1.29 | 0.86 |
| Zn | ppm | 1.05 | 0.78 | 1.07 | 0.59 | 0.68 | 0.88 | 1.04 | 0.53 | 1.30 | 0.99 |

Table - 2: Pre soil analysis of ten agriculture fields for the determination of physicochemical properties and nutrient status

The three way factorial analysis used to check the effect of seasonal variability and soil depth on soil fungi (cfu g⁻¹ soil) in ten agricultural fields revealed significant (p<0.05) differences. The overall fungal population in 10 locations showed maximum cfu from 21 to 25, 25, 16, 17, 19, 26, 11, 29 and 27 while minimum was ranged from 6 to 6, 8, 5, 5, 5, 7, 3, 7, and 7 cfu g⁻¹ soil respectively. The LSD test (p<0.05) for mean comparison (Table 3) showed highest (16.88 cfu g⁻¹ soil) fungal population in location 9 whereas location 8 indicated the lowest (6.83) number of cfu. Location 1 and 6, 2 and 3, 4 and 5, 10 and 7 were statistically same from one another but were differed over other locations. The causes of such fluctuation in their population dynamics might be due to its association with soil nutrient status and soil O.M. The variation in microbial population dynamics across different agricultural fields within an area is maneuvered by variety of environmental elements such as soil texture, moisture, temperature, pH, O.M., nutrients and other physic-chemical properties of soil (Kennedy et al., 2005). The variation in soil fungal and bacterial population in ten different agricultural fields supports the observations of Laverman et al., (2002) who recorded a greater spatial variability in nitrifying bacterial population using one meter spatial scale. In another study conducted by Klironomos et al., (1999) indicated that soil organisms vary even at smaller spatial scale of 0.2 m. The results of this study is supported by the findings of Vasanthakumari and

Shivanna (2011) who observed variation in fungal communities across seasons, plant types and nutrient status of soil. The effect of soil depth on fungal cfu was also significant and the upper depth of 0-6 inch showed highest fungal population of 13.99 cfu g⁻¹ soil as compared to the soil depth of 06-12 inch which is account for 17.56% increase over soil depth of 06-12 inch (Table 3). Like soil depth, significant variability was noted among the seasons with respect to fungal cfu g⁻¹soil. Soil sampling during 2009 and 10 in the month of February revealed highest significant fungal population (16.13 and 14.28 cfu g⁻¹ soil) when compared to August sampling. The maximum number of cfu during the four seasons (S1, S2, S3 and S4) was from 29 to 22, 26 and 16 while the minimum was from 6 to 2, 3 and 3. The seasonal variability was recorded in the order like S1>S3>S2>S4. But the interaction between location x season, location x depth, Season x Depth and Location x Season x Depth were statistically found non-significant. Such explanation coincides with the observations noted by Berg and Verhoef (1998) who reported that the seasonal fluctuation in the population of soil flora corresponds to changing pattern in soil moisture and temperature regime. The findings of Das et al., (2013) are resemble with our results who observed higher bacterial count in the surface 0-10 cm depth during spring season and some other researcher like Jha et al., (1992) who noted higher bacterial population in spring season and after rainy seasons.

Table - 3: Fungal colony forming units (cfu/g soil) of ten agricultural fields as affected by seasonal variability at two different depths

| Study factors | Fungal colony |
|------------------------------|--------------------|
| | forming unit |
| | (cfu/g soil) |
| Locations | |
| 1 | 12.75 d |
| 2 | 14.54 c |
| 3 | 14.83 bc |
| 4 | 9.92 f |
| 5 | 10.38 ef |
| 6 | 11.54 de |
| 7 | 15.79 ab |
| 8 | 6.83 g |
| 9 | 16.87 a |
| 10 | 16.0 ab |
| S.E. ± | 0.63 |
| LSD at 5% probability | 1.24 |
| Seasons | |
| S1 | 16.13 a |
| S2 | 12.48 c |
| S3 | 14.28 b |
| S4 | 8.88 d |
| S.E. ± | 0.40 |
| LSD at 5% probability | 0.78 |
| Depths | |
| 0-06 inch | 13.99 a |
| 06-12 inch | 11.90 b |
| S.E. ± | 0.28 |
| LSD at 5% probability | 0.55 |
| Interactions | F value |
| Locations | 53.33 ** |
| Seasons | 121.80** |
| Depths | 55.76 ** |
| Locations x seasons | 0.94 ^{NS} |
| Locations x depths | 0.51 ^{NS} |
| Seasons x Depths | 1.57 ^{NS} |
| Locations x seasons x depths | 0.12 ^{NS} |

Means followed by common letter are not significantly different at 5% probability level. **Highly significant NS Non-significant

The number of fungal species and number of fungi per gram soil as affected by seasonal variability with respect to soil depths are given in Table **4-6**. The soils of three apple orchards i.e. location 7, 9 and 10 indicated maximum number of cfu in all seasons and in both depths as compared to other locations, while the minimum number of cfu were noted in location 4, 5 and 8. In the month of February as season one (S1) and three (S3) during both years the cfu in all location were high but low in the month of August (S2 and S4). The results regarding seasonal changes in fungal (cfu g-1soil) were found contradicted with the results of Blume et al., (2002) who observed no significant differences in microbial biomass for upper and lower depth across winter and summer samples from two soils and similar seasonal influence was recorded by Holmes and Zac (1994). However, in both months (February and August) the surface soil sampling (0-6 inch) indicated more cfu than below the surface (06-12 inch). The seasonal effects on soil microbial biomass in the surface and shallow subsurface as studied by other scientists are contradictory. Greater microbial biomass was observed in summer than in winter according to the study of Buchanan and King (1992) who explained that this high microbial biomass is might be due to high temperature in summer. But the study of Baath and Soderstror (1982) and Sarathchandra et al., (1989) showed that the soil microbial biomass was high in spring and lower in summer and winter.

Those agricultural field having organic matter more than 1% and nutrient concentration in sufficient range showed high number of cfu. The total number cfu in all locations at 0-6 inch depth was found higher (556 cfu) in comparison to the soil depth of 06-12 inch which was 464 cfu (Table 4 and 5). From each location 18 fungal species were isolated that representing nine genera. Five species belonged to genus Aspergillus, two species belonged is each genus of Cladosporium, Penicillum and Trichoderma. Three species to Glomus, while the rest of genera Mucor, Fusarium, Rhizopus and Scutello spora were represented by one species. In the upper 6 inch soil, total number of cfu of 18 fungal species in 1-10 locations from 54 to 62, 62, 44, 44, 51, 26, 73 and 69 (Table 4). Among these species, the Aspergillus niger was found the most dominant species in all locations whose percent occurrence was from 13.0 to 14.5, 9.7. 11.4, 9.1, 13.7, 7.7, 13.7 and 15.9 % followed by Penicillum rubrum whose percent occurrence was from 11.1 to 9.7, 6.5, 6.8, 11.4, 7.8, 9.9, 11.5, 6.8 and 5.8 %. Whereas, the percent occurrence of Fusarium oxysporium and Scutello spora pellucid was lowest in all locations. Fusarium was from 1.9 to 1.6, 4.8, 4.5, 2.3, 3.9, 5.6, 3.8, 2.7 and 2.9 % while, Scutellospora was from 1.9 to 4.8, 3.2, 2.3, 4.5, 3.9, 4.2, 3.8, 2.7 and 2.9%.

| Fungal species | | | | | | | | | | A | Agricul | tural f | ields | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|---|---|------|-------|-----|-----|---|----|----|----------------|---------|-------|------|------|------|--------|------|-------|--------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | Ν | o. c | of cc | lon | ies | | | | Occurrence (%) | | | | | | | | | |
| Aspergillus niger | 7 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 9.7 | 11.4 | 49.1 | 13.7 | 7 11.3 | 37.7 | 13.7 | / 15.9 |
| A. ridulens | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 11.8 | 39.9 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 11.6 |
| A. flavus | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 4.3 |
| A. candidus | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 2.3 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.9 |
| A. ustus | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 6.8 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 4.3 |
| Cladosporium | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 9.8 | 8.5 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 5.8 |
| cladosporoides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C. lunata | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.9 |
| Fusarium oxysporium | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| Glomus mosseae | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 7.4 | 3.2 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 5.8 |
| G. ambisporium | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 6.8 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 7.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| G. formosanum | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.9 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
| Mucor mucido | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 9.1 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 8.7 |
| Pencillum rubrum | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 11.1 | 9.7 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 11.4 | 47.8 | 9.9 | 11. | 5 6.8 | 5.8 |
| P. purprogerum | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 4.3 |
| Rhizopus arrhizus | 3 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 9.7 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 9.8 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 4.3 |
| Scutellospora pellucid | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| Trichoderma | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 9.3 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 9.1 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 7.2 |
| lignorum | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T. viride | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 7.4 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 5.8 |
| Total | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | |

Table - 4: Assessment of fungi in ten different agricultural fields with soil depth of 0-06 inch as number of colonies and percent occurrence of fungal species

However, in the soil depth of 06-2 inch the total cfu of fungal species were decreased in comparison to the upper 0-6 inch soil and enumerated from 47 to 52, 55, 36, 33, 40, 57, 25, 60 and 59 cfu (Table 5). But percent occurrence of some species were found higher than the upper 6 inch soil. This might be due to some favourable conditions of physical and chemical nature for those fungal species. Blue et al., (2002) observed that due to localized carbonate deposit increased pH at shallow subsurface which might be resulted in increased fungal species. His findings further revealed that the microbial activity was noted higher in winter at both surface and subsurface but the microbial structure was increased at subsurface and suggested that high temperature increased microbial population resulting in more nutrient utilization as well as organic matter which enter into the soil as inputs. The reasons for these variations in the percent occurrence of different fungal and bacterial species across soil depths and locations can't be explained through this study because most of the species whether fungal or bacterial showed percent dominancy in

those soil where other soil physical, chemical and biological properties do not seem conducive as exhibited in the results. And the some species percent occurrence was observed higher at lower soil depth of 06-12 inch over the upper depth (0-06 inch). According to Stagnari et al., (2014) that accurate demarcation of soil microbial community organization, diversity and their functions still remains a black box. Fierer and Jackson (2006) narrated that limited number of soil microbes can be isolated and identified through culturing techniques and their role in agro-ecosystem. Researchers like Vogel et al., (2009) reported about the project known as TerraGenoma which highlighted the enormous unevenness in soil microbial richness and distribution under the influence of space, time and management and ratifying the complex nature of organic and conventional ecosystem. These results are supported by the findings of Griffiths et al., (1999) who revealed that the addition of organic C to soil will increase the magnitudes of fungi.

| Fungal species | Agricultural fields | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|---|---|-----|----|------|------|---|---|----|----------------|------|------|-----|-----|------|------|------|-------|------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | | No. | of | colo | nies | | | | Occurrence (%) | | | | | | | | | |
| Aspergillus niger | 5 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 10.6 | 13.5 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 6.1 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 12.0 |)11.7 | 11.9 |
| A. ridulens | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 9.1 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 10.2 |
| A. flavus | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| A. candidus | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 3.4 |
| A. ustus | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| Cladosporium | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cladosporoides | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 6.4 | 9.6 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 8.8 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 5.1 |
| C. lunata | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| Fusarium oxysporium | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 8.3 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 1.7 |
| Glomus mosseae | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 6.1 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 5.1 |
| G. ambisporium | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 8.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 |
| G. formosanum | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| Mucor mucido | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10.6 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 8.5 |
| Pencillum rubrum | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 10.6 | 7.7 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 9.1 | 12.5 | 7.0 | 12.0 |) 5.0 | 5.1 |
| P. purprogerum | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| Rhizopus arrhizus | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 6.1 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 8.3 | 5.1 |
| Scutellospora pellucid | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 5.1 |
| Trichoderma lignorum | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 10.6 | 9.6 | 7.3 | 5.6 | 9.1 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.8 |
| T. viride | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8.5 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 5.1 |
| Total | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | |

Table -5: Assessment of fungi in ten different agricultural fields with soil depth of 06-12 inch as number of colonies and fungal pecies percent occurrence

 Table- 6: Assessment of fungi in ten agricultural fields as number of colonies in serial dilution plate method and number of fungi per gram soil influencing by seasonal variability

| Seasons | ons Dilution No. of colonies in serial dilution | | | | | | | | | tion | | No. of organism per gram of soil | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|------------------------------|----|----|---|---|---|----|---|------|----|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | Different agricultural field | | | | | | | | | | Different agricultural field | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0-06 i | nch depth | 1 | | | | | | | |
| S1 | 10-3 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 11 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 17x10 ⁶ | 19x10 ⁶ | 20x10 ⁶ | $14x10^{6}$ | $14x10^{6}$ | 16x10 ⁶ | 22x10 ⁶ | 9x10 ⁶ | 23x10 ⁶ | $22x10^{6}$ | |
| S2 | 10-3 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13x10 ⁶ | 15x10 ⁶ | 15x10 ⁶ | 11x10 ⁶ | 11x10 ⁶ | 12x10 ⁶ | 18x10 ⁶ | 7x10 ⁶ | 16x10 ⁶ | 16x10 ⁶ | |
| S3 | 10-3 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 15x10 ⁶ | 17x10 ⁶ | 17x10 ⁶ | 12x10 ⁶ | 11x10 ⁶ | 14x10 ⁶ | 19x10 ⁶ | 6x10 ⁶ | 19x10 ⁶ | 20x10 ⁶ | |
| S4 | 10-3 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9x10 ⁶ | 11x10 ⁶ | 10x10 ⁶ | 7x10 ⁶ | 8x10 ⁶ | 9x10 ⁶ | 12x10 ⁶ | $4x10^{6}$ | 15x10 ⁶ | $11x10^{6}$ | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 06-12 inc | h depth | | | | | | | | |
| S1 | 10-3 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14x10 ⁶ | 17x10 ⁶ | $17x10^{6}$ | 10x10 ⁶ | 11x10 ⁶ | 13x10 ⁶ | 17x10 | ⁵ 9x10 | ⁶ 19x10 ⁶ | 19x10 ⁶ | |
| S2 | 10-3 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11x10 ⁶ | 13x10 ⁶ | 13x10 ⁶ | 9x10 ⁶ | 7x10 ⁶ | 10x10 ⁶ | 14x10 | ⁵ 7x10 | ⁶ 14x10 ⁶ | $14x10^{6}$ | |
| S3 | 10-3 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13x10 ⁶ | 14x10 ⁶ | 15x10 ⁶ | 10x10 ⁶ | 9x10 ⁶ | 11x10 ⁶ | 16x10 | ⁵ 6x10 | ⁶ 17x10 ⁶ | 16x10 ⁶ | |
| S4 | 10-3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10-6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9x10 ⁶ | 8x10 ⁶ | $10x10^{6}$ | 7x10 ⁶ | 6x10 ⁶ | 6x10 ⁶ | 10x10 | ⁵ 3x10 | ⁶ 10x10 ⁶ | $10x10^{6}$ | |

CONCLUSION

The pooled data suggested that the ten agriculture fields varied significantly in soil fungal population associated with soil physicochemical properties that were affected by seasonal variability and soil depth. The soil of medium texture with optimum level of organic matter and available nutrients manifested higher fungal population as noted in location 9 at upper depth in spring season and minimum in location 8 at subsurface in summer season. From each location 18 fungal species were isolated and Aspergillus niger was found as a dominant fungal species in all locations. So, it is concluded that the soil with medium texture retain more O.M. than light texture soils and such soils indirectly depicted more available nutrients and high microbial activity provided that other factors like temperature, moisture, pH, texture and others remain conducive.

REFERENCES

- Ainsworth, J., H. Bisby, Dictionary of the Fungi. 8th edn. Wallingford. CABI International, UK pp. 616 (1995).
- Anon., Difco Manual. 10th edn. Detroit: Difco Laboratories (1984).
- Berg, M.P., J.P.Kniese, R.Zoomer and V.Herman, Long-term decomposition of successive organic strata in a N saturated Scots pine forest soil. For.Ecol.Manage **107**:159-172(1998)
- Bissett, J. and D. Parkinson, Functional relationships between soil fungi and environment in alpine tundra. Can. J. Bot. **57**: 1642-59 (1979).
- Blume, E., M. Bischoff, J.M. Reichert, T. Moorman, A. Konopka and R.F. Turco, Surface and subsurface microbial biomass, community structure and metabolic activity as a function of soil depth and season. Applied Soil Ecology 20: 171-181 (2002).
- Booth, C., ed. Methods in Microbiology. 4. London: Academic Press. Highly recommended for a very wide range of classic techniques (1971).
- Booth, C., Introduction to general methods. In: Methods in microbiology, C. Booth, ed. **4**: 1-47 (1971).
- Bouyoucos, G.J., Hydrometer method improved for making particle-size analysis of soils. Agron. J. **53**: 464-465 (1962).
- Brady, N. and R. Weil, The Nature and Properties of Soils, 13th Edition. Prentice Hall. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey pp.960 (2002).

- Bridge, P. and B. Spooner, Soil fungi: diversity and detection. Plant and Soil 232: 147-154 (2001).
- Burnett, J., Fungal populations and species. Oxford: Oxford University Press (2003).
- Christensen, M., A view of fungal ecology. Mycologia 81: 1-19 (1989).
- Das, K., N. Ramesh and A. Padum, Soil microbial diversity of Dibru-Saikhowa Bioshpere reserve forest of Assam, India. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Biological Science 13(3):7-13 (2013).
- Devi, L.S., K. Polashree, M. Fenella, W. Nongkhlaw and S.R. Joshi, Diversity of Culturable Soil Micro-fungi along Altitudinal Gradients of EasternHimalayas. Mycology 40(3):151-158 (2012).
- Fierer, N., and R.B. Jackson, The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. Proc. Natl.Acad. Sci. USA **103**:626-631 (2006)
- Frankland, J.C, J. Dighton and L. Boddy, Methods for studying fungi in soil and forest litter. In: Methods in microbiology, R. Grigorova and Notris, J.R., ed. London: Academic Press. 22: 343-404 (1990).
- GGWG., Agriculture's role in greenhouse gas emissions and capture. Greenhouse Gas Working Group Rep. Madison, WI: ASA, CSSA, and SSSA (2010).
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez, Statistical procedures for agriculture research, 2nd Edition. John Wiley and Sons, New York (1984).
- Grantina, L., G. Bondare, A. Janberga, G. Tabors, R. Kasparinskis, V. Nikolajeva, and I. Muiznieks, Monitoring seasonal changes in microbial populations of spruce forest soil of the Northern Temperate zone. Estonian J. Ecol. 61: 190-214 (2012).
- Griffiths, B., K. Ritz, N. Ebblewhite, G. Dobson, Soil microbial community structure: effects of substrate loading rates. Soil Biology and Biochemistry **3**: 145-153 (1999).
- Gupta, V.V.S.R. *et al.*, Life in the Soil. Adelaide, Australia: Cooperative Research Centre for Soil and Land Management. CSIRO, The University of Adelaide (1997).
- Hackl, E., S.Zechmeister-Boltenstern, L.Bodrossy, A. Sessitsch, Comparison of diversities and compositions of bacterial populations inhibiting natural forest soils. Applied and Environmental Microbiology **70**: 5057–5065 (2004).
- Holmes, W.E. and D.R. Zac, Soil microbial biomass dynamics and net N mineralization in Northern hardwood ecosystems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 58: 238–243 (1994).

- Jha, D.K., G.D. Sharma and R.R. Mishra, Soil microbial population numbers and enzyme activities in relation to altitudes and forest degradation. Soil Biol. Biochem. 24: 761-767 (1992).
- Johnson, L.E. and E.A. Curl, Methods for research on the ecology of soil-borne plant pathogens. Minneapolis: Burgess Publishing Co. (1972).
- Jones, J.B., Kjeldahl method for nitrogen determination. Micro-Macro Publishing Inc., Athens, GA, USA (1991).
- Kennedy, N.M., D.E. Gleeson, J. Connolly and N.J.W. Clipson, Seasonal and management influences on bacterial community structure in an upland grassland soil. FEMS Microb. Ecol. 53: 329-337 (2005).
- Klironomos, J.N., M.C. Rillig and M.F. Allen, Designing belowground field experiments with help of semi-variance and power analyses. Appl. Soil Ecol. **12**: 227-238 (1999).
- Laverman, A.M., P. Borgers and H.A, Verhoef, Spatial variation in net nitrate production in N-saturated coniferous forest soil. For. Ecol. Manag. 161: 123-132 (2002).
- Madsen, E.L., Microbial biogeochemistry: A grand synthesis, in Environmental Microbiology: From Genomes to Biogeochemistry. Malden, MA: Blackwell publishing pp.281-299 (2008).
- McKeague, J.A. (Ed.), Manual on soil sampling and methods of analysis. Canadian society of soil science Pp. 66-68 (1978).
- McLean, E.O., Soil pH and lime requirement P. 199-224. In: Page, A.L. (Ed.), Methods of soil analysis, Part 2: chemical and microbiological properties .AM. Soc. Agron, Madison, WI, USA (1982).
- Onions, A.H.S., D. Allsopp and H.O.W. Eggins, Smith's introduction to industrial mycology. 7th edn. London: Edward Arnold (1981).
- Osono, T., D. Hirose, Altitudinal distribution of microfungi associated with *Betula ermanii* leaf litter on Mt. Rishiri, northern Japan. Can J. Microbiol. **55**: 783-9 (2009).
- Parkinson, D., T.RG. Gray and S.T. Williams, Methods for studying the ecology of soil microorganisms. International Biological Programme handbook No 19. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications (1971).
- Parkinson, P., T.R.G. Gray and S.T. William, Methods for studying the ecology of soil microorganisms. Blackwell Scientific Publication Oxford Pp. 116 (1971).

- Penn, C., Handling laboratory microorganisms. Milton Keynes: Open University Press (1991)
- Petersen, R.H. and K.W. Hughes, Species and speciation in mushrooms: development of a species concept poses difficulties. Bioscience 49: 440-52 (1999).
- Smith, D. and A.H.S. Onions, The preservation and maintenance of living fungi, 2nd edn,. International Mycological Institute: CAB International (1994).
- Soltanpour, P.N. and A.P. Schwab, A new soil test for simultaneous extraction of macromicro nutrients in alkaline soils. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 8: 195-207 (1977).
- Song, F.Q., X. Tian, Z. Li, C. Yang, B. Chen, J. Hao and J. Zhu, Diversity of filamentous fungi in organic layers of two forests in Zijin Mountain. J. For Res. 15: 273-279 (2004).
- Sonya,M.H., W.M. Omran, A.S. Mohamed, A.S.Al-Shehri and A.S.Sadik, Isolation and Identification of some halotolerant Actinomycetes having antagonistic activities against some plant pathogens (i.e., Tobacco mosaic virus, Aspergillus Sp., Fusarium Sp.) from soil of Taif Governorate KSA. Pak. J. Biotechnol. 9(1):1-12 (2012).
- SQI (Soil Quality Institute), Grazing Lands Technology Institute and National Soil Survey Center, Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA. Rangeland Soil Quality-Soil Biota USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service (2001).
- Stagnari, F., P. Giorgia, T. Rosanna, C. Gabriele,
 L. Fabrizio, D.V. Umberto, S. Giovanna and
 P. Michele, Long-term impact of farm management and crops on soil micro-organisms assessed by combined DGGE and PLFA analysis. Frontiers in Microbiology 5 (644): 1-8 (2014).
- Tabacchioni, S., L. Chiarini, A. Bevvino, C. Cantale, C. Dalmastri, Bias caused by using different isolation media for assessing the genetic diversity of a natural microbial population. Microb. Ecol. 40: 169-176 (2000).
- Tangjang S and K. Arunachalam, Microbial population dynamics of soil under traditional agroforestry systems in Northeast India. Res. Jour. of Soil Biol. 1(1): 7 (2009).
- Trevors, J.T., Bacterial biodiversity in soil with an emphasis on chemically-contaminated soils. Water Air Soil Pollut. **101**:45-67 (1998).
- Vasanthakumari, M.M. and M.B. Shivann, Fungal assemblages in the rhizosphere and rhizoplane of grasses of the subfamily panicoideae in the Lakkavalli region of

Karnataka, India. Microbes and Environment **26**: 228-236 (2011).

- Vogel, T.M., P. Simonet, J.K. Jansson, P.R. Hirsch, J.M. Tiedje and J.D. Van Elsas, Terra Genome: a consortium for the sequencing of a soil metagenome. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 7: 252 (2009).
- Walkley. A. and I.A. Black, An examination of the method for determining soils O.M. and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. **37**:29-38 (1934).
- Warcup, J.H., The ecology of soil fungi. Transactions of the British Mycological Society **34**: 376–379 (1957).