Pak. J. Biotechnol. Vol. 20(1)10-20

http://doi.org/10.34016/pjbt.2023.20.01.583.



## BERSEEM CLOVER PRODUCTIVITY IN THE PRESENCE OF A COMBINATION OF BIOFERTILIZERS, ORGANIC FERTILIZER AND BIOCHAR UNDER SANDY SOIL CONDITIONS

Alaaeldin Abdel-Hamid Abo El-Soud<sup>1</sup>, SayedAhmed El-Tohamy<sup>2</sup>, Mohamed Mohsen El-Kholi<sup>2</sup>, BakrAbdel-GalilAbdel-Wahab<sup>2</sup>, Gamal Abdel-Fattah Ahmed Mekhemar<sup>2</sup>, Amani Mostafa Ahmed<sup>2</sup>, Gehan Mohamed Salem<sup>1</sup>, Rasha Atef Shoman<sup>2</sup>, Rabab Abdallah Abdel-Naser Amer<sup>1</sup>, Heba Belal Abdel-Samie Kandil<sup>1</sup>, Sonya Hamouda Mohamed<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Microbiology, Soils, Water and Environmental Research Institute, Agricultural Research Centre, P.O. Box, 12619, Giza, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environment, Soils, Water and Environmental Research Institute, Agricultural Research Centre, P.O. Box, 12619, Giza, Egypt

## \*Corresponding author Email: <u>drsonyasweri@gmail.com</u> Article Received 18-11-2022, Article Revised 11-01-2023, Article Accepted 27-02-2023

### ABSTRACT

Several studies have shown that berseem clover is one of the most important winter leguminous crops as fodder for animals due to its high content of crude protein. In Egypt, its cultivation is abundant in soils whether ancient or reclaimed soils. This study was designed to evaluate the effect of biofertilizers on berseem clover productivity cultivated under sandy soil conditions in Ismailia Research Station, Ismailia Governorate. To reach such aim, an identified actinomycete strain (Streptomyces luteogriseus-08) was used with a mixture of biochar, and organic fertilizer in several different treatments compared to rhizobia. The experimental results revealed that the percentages of crude protein in the two cuts indicated that the 2<sup>nd</sup> cutting was always higher in the percentage of crude protein than the 1<sup>st</sup> cutting. On planting and treating, the microbial total counts, dehydrogenase activity and NPK contents were developed in the soil samples collected from the 15 fertilizer treatments compared to the control-soil sample. In addition, treatments containing rhizobium as a biofertilizer showed a high percentage of crude protein compared to rhizobium-free treatments. The presence of organic fertilizer was more suitable for improving the growth of berseem clover plants compared to biochar. Combination of Rhizobium legumin Sarum by. trifolii, Streptomyces luteogriseus-08, organic fertilizer, biochar in the presence of low nitrogen fertilizer (T16 treatment) gave the highest numbers of nodules, dry weight of nodules, and fresh weight of berseem clover nodules. Dry and fresh weights of berseem clover shoots, crude protein (%) and expected yield (Ton/Feddan) were higher in T16 compared to the control and the other 14 treatments.

Key words: Berseem clover, biofertilizers, Rhizobium legumino sarumbv. trifolii, Yield, Crude protein (%), Streptomyces luteogriseus-08.

## INTRODUCTION

Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) is considered the main winter forage legume in old and new lands of Egypt. This is due to its high yield and quality especially crude protein content (Ghaffarzade, 1997). Ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* L.) is a native annual winter grass and adapted to a wide varieties of soils and produce quick cover after cutting, of high production and quality (Ramadan, 1997). Thus, the principal benefits of mixing ryegrass with Egyptian clover are the increase of total dry fertilizer production and forage quality (James et al., 1998). Organic and biofertilizers seem to be more appropriate agronomic practices as they are considered the important aspects

in agronomic clean farming. Among these organic materials are crop residues, farmyard compost, green manure and bio-fertilizer as microbial fertilizers and rhizobium, blue green algae and azolla. These were used to improve soil health and increased the yield which plays an important role for minimizing the harmful effect of pesticides and herbicides (Ananata,2005).Egyptian clover is one of keeping the soil, prevents wind and increases soil organic fertilizer content, particularly in newly reclaimed lands and enhancing soil structure and chemical and physical feature, (Graves et al., 1996). Thus, there is a need to develop berseem clover cultivar and appropriate

fertilizer types for high forage production and good quality (El-Nahrawy, 2005). In Egypt clover is considered long winter forage legume. Its cultivated area almost accumulates more than 1.25 million fed of the total area of around 7.5 million fed. Leguminous crop with high yield and nutritive value, berseem has become one of the basic entries of successive crops in irrigated soils or as a green manure in cash crop rotations (Kuneluis, 1997).Ismail and Hassanen (2019) investigated the effect of foliar application of compost tea humic acid, and biofertilizer on forage production, seeds, chemical analysis of plant and soil. In general, berseem clover treated with humic acid increased the plant height, fresh, dry yield, seeds yield, weight of 1000 seeds, crude protein, fiber fraction, total carbohydrate, digestible crude protein of forage yield as compared with control. Mixtures of forage crops (cereals and legumes) clearly have many advantages and are superior to their monocultures in providing greater yield and quality. In this respect grass-legume mixtures have high crude protein concentration and low fiber concentration than pure grass stand (Chen 2006 Damadola et al., 2009). Thalooth et al. (2015) conducted two field experiments during two successive winter seasons of 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 to investigate the potentialities of mixing Egyptian clover with ryegrass under bio, organic and mineral fertilization treatments and their combination to increase forage yield and quality grown under sandy soil conditions. The experiment included the combination of five mixing ratios (Egyptian clover alone, ryegrass alone, 75% Egyptian clover: 25% ryegrass, 50% Egyptian clover: 50% ryegrass and 25% Egyptian clover: 75% ryegrass) and eight fertilizer sources, which include control, organic fertilization, bio fertilization, chemical fertilizer, organic + biofertilizer, organic + chemical fertilizer, bio + chemical fertilizer and combination of organic and chemical and bio fertilizers. On the other hand, it reported the lowest dry weight of weeds g/m<sup>2</sup>. Biofertilizers are microorganisms that enrich the nutrient quality of soil. The main sources of biofertilizers are bacteria, fungi, and cynobacteria. The most striking relationship that these have with plants is symbiosis, in which the partners derive benefits from each other (Al Abboud et al., 2014). Biofertilizers are products applied on the surface of a plant or in soil and contain live microorganisms that promote plant growth and development. These products may include bacterial species such as *Rhizobium*. Azotobacter. and Azospirilium as well as blue green algae (BGA) (Kumar et al., 2017 and Noufal et al., 2018). The need for N fertilizers could be reduced by biological nitrogen fixation (Nicolás et al., 2006) in other mean bio-fertilizers (Ewees and Abdel Hafeez, 2010). Oad et al. (2004) reported that an increase in germination of

seeds appears as a direct result to improving soil productivity by adding plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria(PGPR) which considered as a group of free-living bacteria that colonize the rhizosphere and benefit the root growth. Nitrogen fixation and plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria are important criteria for an effective bio fertilizer. Rhizobia are legume root nodule bacteria. A Rhizobium is a legume root nodule bacterium, and fixes N2 (diazotroph) after becoming established inside root nodules of legumes (Fabaceae). Rhizobacteria, through nitrogen fixation can able to convert gaseous nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) to ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) making it an available nutrient to the hostplant which can support and enhance plant growth. The host plant provides the bacteria with amino acids so they do not need to assimilate ammonia. Several microorganisms are commonly used as biofertilizers including nitrogen-fixing soil bacteria (Azotobacter, Rhizobium), nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria (Anabaena), phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (Pseudomonas sp.), and AM fungi (Kumari et al., 2019).Biofertilizers trap atmospheric nitrogen to the soil and convert them into plant usable forms. They also convert the insoluble phosphate forms into plant available forms. They stimulate root growth by producing some hormones and antimetabolites. Effects of PGPR can occur via local antagonism to soil-borne pathogens or by induction of systemic resistance against pathogens throughout the entire plant. PGPR improve plant grow the directly by producing plant growth regulators such as auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins; by eliciting root metabolic activities and/or by supplying biologically fixed nitrogen. Consequently, germination, root development, nutrient and water uptake are improved (Kumar 2017). et al.. Biofertilizers such as Rhizobium. Azotobacter. Azospirilium and blue green algae (BGA) have been in use a long time. Rhizobium inoculant is used for leguminous crops. Azotobacter can be used with crops like berseem clover, maize, mustard, cotton, potato and other vegetable crops. Rhizobium seed inoculation alone significantly increased soil nitrogen content and soil available phosphorus compared to the control in both seasons (Hatim, 2013). The experiment was designed to evaluate the effect of bio-fertilizers on berseem clover productivity cultivated under the sandy soil conditions in Ismailia Governorate. To reach such aim, an identified Streptomyces strain associated with rhizobium as biofertilizers was used with a mixture of biochar, organic fertilizer and 1/2 recommended dose (RD) of mineral nitrogen among several different treatments compared to unfertilized.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location and season: Among two winter seasons of 2020 and 2021 at Section 9, Ismailia Agricultural

Research Station, Ismailia Governorate, Egypt, this field experiment was carried out.

Soil analyses: Among the two seasons, each of mechanical and chemical analyses and soil type were

determined according to method of Page et al. (1982) and Cottenie et al. (1982), and results are recorded in Table (1).

Parameters	Properties	1 <sup>st</sup> Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season
Mechanical analysis	Sand (%)	42.0	41.7
	Fine sand (%)	39.5	39.1
	Silt (%)	11.5	11.8
	Clay (%)	07.0	07.4
	Texture grade	Sandy	Sandy
	pH (1:2.5)	7.65	7.80
	E.C. (dSm <sup>-1</sup> at 25°C)	0.49	0.51
	SP (%)	26.0	26.3
Soluble cations (mmol/L)	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	1.50	1.60
	$Mg^{2+}$	0.50	0.59
	Na <sup>+</sup>	0.22	0.26
	$K^+$	2.45	2.50
Soluble anions (mmol/L)	CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	0.00	0.00
	HCO3 <sup>-</sup>	0.50	0.56
	Cl-	3.50	3.63
	SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	0.92	0.94
Macroelements	Total-N (%)	0.018	0.019
	Total soluble-N (ppm)	100.0	101.1
	Available-P (ppm)	08.24	8.840
	Available-K (ppm)	101.0	98.20
Microelements (DTPA-extract)	Iron(ppm)	0.930	0.810
	Manganese(ppm)	0.310	0.330
	Zinc(ppm)	0.120	0.130
	Copper(ppm)	0.050	0.055

Table-1: Mechanical	and chemical a	analyses of c	ultivated soil	among two seasons.
Table It micenanical	and chemical	unaryses or c	untivated 50m	uniong the scusons.

DTPA: Di-ethylene tri-amine penta acetic acid.

**Characters of used fertilizers:** Properties of organic fertilizer (farmyard manure) (Table 2) used among the two successive seasons were determined. In other side, characteristics of biochar conditioner used in the two

experiment seasons at Ismailia station was as follows: % Total N (0.144), N-NH<sub>4</sub> (770 ppm), N-NO<sub>3</sub> (100 ppm), P (0.17 ppm), K (0.51 ppm), Fe (3.95 ppm), Mn (0.38 ppm), Zn (0.37 ppm) and Cu (0.49 ppm).

Table-2. I Toper nes of organic refunzer (farmyaru manure) useu in the two season	Table-2: F	Properties of	organic fertilizer	(farmvard manure	) used in the two seasons
---	------------	---------------	--------------------	------------------	---------------------------

Properties	1 <sup>st</sup> season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season
pH	7.85	7.68
E.C. (dS/m at 25°C)	4.66	5.04
Organic-C (%)	16.15	17.24
Total N (%)	1.64	1.57
C/N ratio	12.80	13.96
Total-P (%)	0.74	1.05
Total-K (%)	1.55	1.40
Total soluble-N (ppm)	95.5	89.4
Available-P (ppm)	19.3	22.4
Available-K (ppm)	656.0	691.5
DTPA extractable (ppm):		
Fe	134.5	141.7
Mn	31.9	29.90
Zn	22.1	29.10
Cu	2.65	3.05
Microbial total counts:		
Bacteria	6.2X10 <sup>7</sup>	9.4X10 <sup>6</sup>
Fungi	5.6X10 <sup>6</sup>	2.8X10 <sup>6</sup>
Actinomycetes	3.9X10 <sup>6</sup>	2.9X10 <sup>6</sup>
Dehydrogenase activity (µg TPF/g)	107.4	112.7

**Source of berseem clover seeds and cultivation distance:** From the Seed Management, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt, Berseem clover seeds was kindly obtained. Seeds were sown at 25 cm distance between plants and 50 cm between rows.

*Rhizobium* inoculum source: The strainARC-102of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *Trifolii* was obtained from Biofertilizer Unit; Agric. Res. Microbial; Soils, Water and Environment Res. Inst.; Agric. Res. Center; Giza; Egypt. Seeds were coated with rhizobium and applied for all treatments expect for the control treatment that received the recommended dose of mineral nitrogen. Each bacterial strain was applied either separately or all in combination as a liquid culture at the rate of 20 L fed<sup>-1</sup> mixed with 400 L water/fed for berseem clover plants as a foliar spray.

**Streptomycete source and preparation of its inoculum:** An identified halotolerant streptomycete strain labelled as *Streptomyces luteogriseus*-08 was obtained from Department of Agricultural Microbiology, ARC, Giza, Egypt. This isolate was previously isolated from Taif soil, KSA and completely identified by Mohamed et al. (2013). Inoculum of the applied *Streptomyces* strain was prepared by scraping the heavy spores from the surface of the growth of

starch nitrate slant in the presence of 5 mL sterilized d.H<sub>2</sub>O as described by Osman et al. (2007). An aliquot of 2 mL standard inoculum was transferred aseptically to 50 mL of a broth medium (data not shown) modified from starch nitrate broth medium in a 250 mL conical flask. Inoculated flasks were incubated at  $28\pm2^{\circ}$ C for 6 days on a rotary shaker (160 rpm/min) in Molecular Biology Laboratory, Department of Agricultural Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. Thereafter, growth was centrifuged at 10000 rpm at 4°C for 5 minutes. The supernatants and pellets were then distributed in 50 mL Fisher tubes and kept at 4°C until used.

**Microbial total counts:** Before and after cultivation the total counts of microbes (bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes) in the soil sample as described by the method Clark (1965).

**Design of field experiment:** As shown in Table (3), number of 16 treatments were designed. In these treatments berseem clover seeds were inoculated with a combination of different biofertilizers (*Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *Trifolii* and *Streptomyces luteogriseus*-08) and cultivated in soil fertilized with low concentration of mineral fertilizer in the presence and absence of organic fertilizer and/or biochar.

Treatments	Description
T01	RD of mineral nitrogen (Control)
T02	Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii + 1/2RD of mineral nitrogen
T03	Streptomyces luteogriseus + 1/2RDof mineral nitrogen
T04	Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii + Streptomyces luteogriseus-08 + 1/2RD of mineral nitrogen
T05	Organic fertilizer+ RD of mineral nitrogen
T06	Organic fertilizer+ Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii + 1/2RD of mineral nitrogen
T07	Organic fertilizer+ Streptomyces luteogriseus-08 + <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> RD of mineral nitrogen
T08	Organic fertilizer+ Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii + Streptomyces luteogriseus-08+ 1/2RD of mineral nitrogen
Т09	Biochar + RD of mineral nitrogen
T10	Biochar + Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii + 1/2RD of mineral nitrogen
T11	Biochar + Streptomyces luteogriseus-08 + 1/2 RD of mineral nitrogen
T12	Biochar + Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii + Streptomyces luteogriseus + 1/2RD of mineral nitrogen
T13	Biochar + organic fertilizer+ RD of Mineral nitrogen
T14	Biochar + organic fertilizer + hizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii + ½RD of mineral nitrogen
T15	Biochar + organic fertilizer+ Streptomyces luteogriseus-08+ 1/2RD of mineral nitrogen
T16	Biochar + organic fertilizer+ Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii + Streptomyces luteogriseus-08+ ½RD of mineral nitrogen

Table-3: Design of field experiment.

RD: 40 kg N/fed due to the Ministry of Agriculture.

Activities of dehydrogenase in soil: Based on the method of Stevenson (1959) activity of dehydrogenase enzyme was determined in the soil sample before and after planting.

**Numbers of nodules:** Nodule numbers per berseem clover plant and its dry weight were determined post planting among the two cuttings.

**Measurements post-harvest:** Four parameters, *i.e.*, fresh weight  $(Kg/m^2)$ , dry weight  $(Kg/m^2)$ , yield of fresh weight (Ton/Feddan) and yield of dry weight

(Ton/Feddan) were determined for all 16 treatments on a random sample of ten guarded plants from each plot as reported by Pepe and Heiner (1975) and Helmy et al. (2014).

**Content of NPK:** According to the method Atta Nandana et al. (1999) NPK content (mg /plant) in soil sample was measured before and after cultivation. Percentage of crude protein (N % X 6.25) in shoots or seeds was also determined according to the method of Hames et al. (2008).From each ample 0.5 g was digested using mixture of sulfuric ( $H_2SO_4$ ) and

perchloric (HClO<sub>4</sub>) acids (1:3) as described by Cottenie et al. (1982). Nitrogen was determined by micro Keldahl, according to Jackson (2005). Phosphorus was determined Spectrophotometrcally using ammonium molybdate/stannus chloride method according to Chapman and Pratt (1961). Potassium was determined by a flame photometer, according to Page et al. (1982).Variation for each season was determined as according to Gomez and Gomez (1984).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of soil chemical and mechanical analyses showed that the sample of soil cultivated in the experiment at Ismailia Research Station, Ismailia Governorate was sandy type. It was able to establish a symbiotic association with its symbiotic *Rhizobium* and acquire most of its essential nitrogen. With respect to the importance of berseem clover production worldwide, providing the suitable conditions for the optimum yield production is of great significance.

The *Streptomycesstrain* under investigation was characterized by gray aerial mycelium (gray color

series) and the reverse side of substrate mycelium was dark gray. Spore chains belonged to section RF or spiral with hairy surface. This isolate was also found to produce melanoid, did not produce soluble pigments and had a good growth on Cazpek's medium. Concerning the utilization of carbon sources, the isolate was able to give a good growth in the presence of all sugars as sole carbon source. The isolate also showed antimicrobial activities, was not inhibited with streptomycin (4  $\mu$ gmL<sup>-1</sup>) and grew on NaCl concentrations up to 21% (Mohamed et al., 2013).

The soil of this experiment was appeared to be poor in NPK elements (52-55 ppm N, 7.2-7.6 ppm P and 46-49 ppm K) in unfertilized soil and cultivated with control berseem clover seeds (Biofertilizers-free seeds). On planting NKP amounts were raised with ranges of 89-199 ppm, 8.6-10.7 and 48-91 of N, P and K respectively, among the two successive seasons in the 15 fertilizer treatments compared control (T01) (Table4).

 Table-4: Means of NPK elements in soil cultivated with berseem clover subjected to different fertilizers treatments.

Treatments	N (	ppm)	Available	P (ppm)	Available K (ppm)		
	1st Season	2nd Season	1st Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season	1st Season	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season	
T01	0.52	0.55	7.2	7.6	46	49	
T02	0.89	0.95	8.6	8.9	48	51	
T03	0.75	0.89	7.9	8.1	44	47	
T04	120	126	8.9	8.6	52	49	
T05	106	110	7.8	8.2	63	66	
T06	141	145	9.0	9.3	68	72	
T07	130	135	8.8	9.1	64	69	
T08	150	160	9.6	10.1	69	73	
T09	074	079	7.4	7.9	54	57	
T10	112	125	8.8	9.1	60	63	
T11	105	095	8.2	8.6	58	61	
T12	132	150	9.2	9.6	68	72	
T13	118	128	8.5	8.9	68	65	
T14	158	173	9.2	9.5	78	83	
T15	140	163	8.9	9.4	72	76	
T16	186	199	10.2	10.7	84	91	

The averages of total microbial numbers (Bacteria  $(18-19.2X10^5),$ Fungi  $(0.6-0.65 \times 10^5)$ and Actinomycetes  $(3.5-3.9 \times 10^4)$  were few in control-soil sample (Uninoc. +40 kg N/fed, T01) compared to soil cultivated with berseem clover seeds subjected to different treatments among the two seasons. Total counts of bacteria, fungi and Actinomycetes were increased in the soil samples after cultivated with clover treated with different treatments of 15 treatments compared to the control-soil sample (Uninoc.+40 kgN/fed, T01) (Table 5). This was obvious from the numbers of bacteria  $(21-39.1 \times 10^5)$ , fungi (0.7-0.99 X10<sup>5</sup>) and actinomycetes (4.0-6.9

X10<sup>4</sup>), while the bacterial count was the highest followed by total counts of fungi and actinomycetes. Data also, mention that inoculated treatment recorded higher counts of bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes compared with uninoculated treatments. Moreover, applied organic matter after cultivated clover recorded higher number of bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes than applied biochar after cultivated.

The rate of dehydrogenase enzyme which reached up to 4.10 and 4.5  $\mu$ g TPF/g soil/24 hr among the two seasons was fewer in control-soil sample (Uninoc.+40 kgN/fed, T01) than soil cultivated with berseem clover subjected to different fertilizer

treatments. This was approved by the rate of DHA in the 15 treatments which ranged from 4.85 to  $6.48 \ \mu g$ 

TPF/g soil/24 hr (Table5).

Table-5: Average number of mic	robial total co	ounts and	dehydrogenase	activities i	in soil	after	cultivated	with be	erseem
clover and subjected t	o different ferf	tilizers trea	atments.						

					Microbial total counts (cfu/gm soil)						DHA	
Treatments	Soil	Biofertilizers	Mineral	Bacteria		Fungi	(X10 <sup>5</sup> )	Actino	mycete	(µg TPF/g		
	amended		nitrogen	(X10 <sup>3</sup> )		~	s (X104)		soil/24 hr)			
			Kg/Fed	Se			Sea	sons				
				1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	
T01	Without	Uninoc.	40	18.0	19.2	0.60	0.65	3.5	3.9	4.10	4.50	
T02		Rhizobium	20	21.0	22.2	0.70	0.74	4.0	4.4	4.85	5.15	
T03		Strept.	20	24.0	26.3	0.55	0.58	5.5	5.8	4.90	5.26	
T04		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	26.0	28.4	0.70	0.75	6.0	6.4	5.40	5.80	
T05	Organic	Uninoc.	40	28.5	31.1	0.70	0.74	3.5	3.8	5.30	5.60	
T06	_	Rhizobium	20	30.5	33.4	0.82	0.84	4.5	4.8	5.50	5.85	
T07		Strept.	20	30.0	32.4	0.67	0.69	4.5	4.8	5.35	5.65	
T08		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	34.5	36.7	0.80	0.82	6.0	6.4	6.10	6.50	
T09	Biochar	Uninoc.	40	22.5	25.5	0.65	0.68	3.5	3.8	4.30	4.80	
T10		Rhizobium	20	24.0	27.3	0.80	0.83	4.0	4.3	4.65	4.90	
T11		Strept.	20	23.5	25.4	0.70	0.73	5.0	5.6	4.80	5.01	
T12		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	26.5	29.5	0.85	0.88	6.0	6.5	5.25	5.50	
T13	Organic	Uninoc.	40	30.5	32.1	0.80	0.84	4.0	4.4	5.45	5.70	
T14	+	Rhizobium	20	32.5	34.2	0.85	0.89	5.0	5.4	5.65	5.80	
T15	Biochar	Strept.	20	32.0	33.1	0.70	0.74	5.5	5.9	5.70	5.95	
T16		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	35.0	39.1	0.95	0.99	6.5	6.9	6.23	6.48	

The nodules number of berseem clover plants among the two cutting, which cultivated in the open field through a number of 15 different fertilized treatments compared to the control-soil sample (Uninoc.+40 kg N/fed, T01) are shown in Table (6). Nodule number at the 1<sup>st</sup> cutting of berseem clover were lower than that of the  $2^{nd}$  cutting. Low nodule numbers on berseem clover roots were recorded in the eight treatments (T01, T03, T05, T07, T09, T11, T13 and T15) out of the 16 treatments which were rhizobium-free. Treatment No.16 (Seed inoulated with Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. trifolii + Streptomyces luteogriseus-08+ 20 kg N/fed cultivated in soil amended with organic matter and biochar) showed the highest number of root nodules followed by treatment No. 15 which did not inoculated with actinomycetes cultivated in the same soil.

Results also indicated that when organic fertilizer was added to the soil in the two treatments of (T06 and T08), its activity was increased, and this was obvious from increasing the number of root nodules. While addition of biochar in the treatments of T09 and T11 was not effective compared to organic fertilizer in T06 and T08. Furthermore, the nodule dry weight of the berseem clover plants in this study has become in the same trend as the results of the root nodules number.

The effect of soil amended with organic matter and/or biochar, results show that the maximum mean value was recorded in the treatment which cultivated in the soil amended with Organic matter and biochar. The means values of nodule number in the first season were recorded 28.50 and 50.25 nodule/plant in the first and second cutting, respectively. In the second season, the maximum values of nodule number recorded 32.75 and 56.50 nodule/plant in the first and second cutting in the same order. The maximum values of nodule dry weight were recorded in the seed clover cultivated in the soil amended with the two compounds.

Regardless of soil amended with organic matter and/or biochar, data in **Table (6)** indicate the nodules number and dry weight population in response of rhizobia and actino inoculation combined with activation dose of nitrogen. Results recorded the highest significant difference compared with rhizobia inoculation alone or rhizobia inoculation combined with actinomyces. In the first season, the inoculated rhizobia plus actino recorded maximum values of nodule number which recorded 34.50 and 58.50 nodule/plant in the first and second cutting, respectively.

					Nodule number(#/plant)				Nodule dry weight (mg/plant)			
Codes	Soil	Biofertilizers	Mineral	1 <sup>st</sup> S	eason	2nd S	eason	1 <sup>st</sup>	Season	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Season	
	amended		nitrogen	1 <sup>st</sup>	$2^{nd}$	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	
			Kg/Fed	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	Cutting	
T01	Without	Uninoc.	40	8	21	11	16	21.2	26	29.2	32.1	
T02		20	25	46	29	34	54.6	66.5	70.6	73.4		
T03		Strept.	20	12	21	15	18	32.8	40.4	44.3	47.2	
T04		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	30	56	36	48	66.5	82.2	86.2	89.1	
T05	Organic	Uninoc.	40	9	13	12	18	17.8	24.9	28.2	32.2	
T06	_	Rhizobium	20	30	30	28	38	52.7	63.9	66.3	69.2	
T07		Strept.	20	14	23	17	27	23.7	29.6	33.2	36.2	
T08		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	35	44	36	52	43.7	54.7	58.3	61.2	
T09	Biochar	Uninoc.	40	14	23	17	26	26.5	30.9	34.1	37.2	
T10		Rhizobium	20	32	57	39	61	56.7	57.7	61.2	64.3	
T11		Strept.	20	16	35	19	39	31.5	37.2	40.1	43.7	
T12		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	36	65	45	70	62.8	74.6	78.1	81.2	
T13	Organic	Uninoc.	40	15	31	18	36	24	28.5	32.1	35.1	
T14	+	Rhizobium	20	40	64	46	73	61	74.8	78.9	81.2	
T15	Biochar	Strept.	20	18	37	21	41	25.2	30.2	34.8	37.2	
T16		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	41	69	46	76	65.9	80.4	85.3	88.5	
LSD 0.0	)5			2.20	2.25	2.13	3.12	1.23	2.13	1.78	1.85	
Effect of	f soil amende	d		-		-	-			-		
Without				18.75	36.00	22.75	29.00	43.78	53.78	57.58	60.45	
Organic				22.00	27.50	23.25	33.75	34.48	43.28	46.50	49.70	
Biochar				24.50	45.00	30.00	49.00	44.38	50.10	53.38	56.60	
Organic	+ Biochar			28.50	50.25	32.75	56.50	44.03	53.48	57.78	60.50	
LSD 0.0	)5	1.10	1.13	1.07	1.56	0.62	1.07	0.89	0.93			
Effect of	f Bio-fertilize	ers		-		-	-			-		
Uninoc., 40kgN/fed				11.50	22.00	14.50	24.00	22.38	27.58	30.90	34.15	
Rhizobium, 20kgN/fed					49.25	35.50	51.50	56.25	65.73	69.25	72.03	
Strepto.,	20kgN/fed			15.00	29.00	18.00	31.25	28.30	34.35	38.10	41.08	
Rhizobiu	um+Strept., 2	0kgN/fed		35.50	58.50	40.75	61.50	59.73	72.98	76.98	80.00	
LSD 0.0	)5			1.10	1.13	1.07	1.56	0.62	1.07	0.89	0.93	

# Table-6: Averages of nodule numbers and dry weight per plant of clover among two cutting during two successive seasons cultivated under different fertilizer treatments.

The same trend in the second season, where the maximum values of nodule number recorded 40.75 and 61.50 nodule/plant in the first and second cutting in the same order. Regarding to the nodule dry weight of clover plants result show that the values of thesis parameter were recorded in the seed clover inoculated with rhizobia and actinomycetes combined with activation dose of nitrogen. In the first season, the mean values were 59.73 and 72.98 mg nodules/plant for nodules dry weight in the first and second cutting, respectively. The corresponding values in the second season were 76.98 and 80.00 mg nodules/plant in the same order.

The importance of biofertilizers was inconsistent and reflected from the results of fresh weight of berseem clover plants in this study through the 16 treatments. Data in Table (7) show the fresh weight  $(kg/m^2)$  among the two cuts of berseem clover shoots cultivated under different fertilizer treatments. The experimental results paid an attention to the importance of rhizobia to legume crops. This was clear from the decreasing in the fresh weight of berseem clover shoots in the absences of rhizobium. Similar observation was noted in the case of organicfertilizerthat increased the fresh weight of the berseem clover shoots compared to the use of biochar. As overall, treatment No. 16 (T16) gave the highest fresh weight due to combination of Biochar + organic fertilizer+ *Rhizobium japonicum bv. trifolii* + *Streptomyces luteogriseus*-08 +  $\frac{1}{2}$ RDof mineral nitrogen (20 kg N/fed).Results of the dry weight of the berseem cloverplantsin this study has become in the same direction as the results of fresh weight (Table 7).

Regardless biofertilizer inoculation combined with activation dose of nitrogen, results in Table (7)showed significant differences among amended soil with organic matter and/or biochar. The highest values of shoot dry weight in the first season (3.38and 4.08 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in the same order in the first and second cutting). The corresponding values in the second season were 3.68 and 4.48kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. On the contrary plant cultivated in the soil without organic matter or biochar scored the lowest values of shoot frish and dry weight.Data show also, the inoculation with rhizobia and actinomycetes combined with activation dose of nitrogen were recorded the highest significant difference compared with uninoculated plant in the two seasons.

Codes	Soil	Biofertilizers	Mineral	Fresh weights (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )					Dry weights (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )			
	amended		nitrogen	1 <sup>st</sup> Se	eason	2 <sup>nd</sup> Season		1 <sup>st</sup> (	Season	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Season	
			Kg/Fed	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	$2^{nd}$	1 <sup>st</sup>	$2^{nd}$	
				cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	Cutting	
T01	Without	Uninoc.	40	2.1	2.9	2.3	2.6	0.432	0.51	0.462	0.501	
T02		Rhizobium	20	3.2	3.9	3.5	3.8	0.582	0.71	0.61	0.642	
T03		Strept.	20	2.7	3.2	2.9	3.1	0.48	0.59	0.51	0.551	
T04		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	3.4	4.1	3.7	4.0	0.606	0.74	0.636	0.674	
T05	Organic	Uninoc.	40	2.9	3.5	3.1	3.4	0.534	0.63	0.562	0.591	
T06		20	3.3	4.0	3.6	3.9	0.588	0.72	0.61	0.642		
T07		Strept.	20	3.0	3.7	3.2	3.5	0.57	0.67	0.6	0.632	
T08		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	3.4	4.1	3.7	4.5	0.618	0.76	0.642	0.673	
T09	Biochar	Uninoc.	40	2.7	3.3	3.0	3.6	0.48	0.59	0.51	0.542	
T10		Rhizobium	20	3.2	3.9	3.5	4.2	0.582	0.71	0.612	0.634	
T11		Strept.	20	2.9	3.6	3.2	3.9	0.528	0.65	0.558	0.61	
T12		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	3.4	4.1	3.6	4.5	0.606	0.74	0.634	0.654	
T13	T13 Organic + Uninoc. 40			3.1	3.6	3.3	3.9	0.558	0.65	0.588	0.601	
T14	Biochar	Rhizobium	20	3.5	4.3	3.8	4.7	0.624	0.77	0.652	0.692	
T15		Strept.	20	3.2	4.0	3.5	4.4	0.576	0.7	0.601	0.634	
T16		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	3.7	4.4	4.1	4.9	0.666	0.82	0.695	0.71	
LSD 0.0	)5			0.2	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.12	0.18	0.14	0.16	
Effect of	f Soil amen	ded								-		
Without				2.85	3.53	3.10	3.38	0.53	0.64	0.55	0.59	
Organic				3.15	3.83	3.40	3.83	0.58	0.70	0.60	0.63	
Biochar				3.05	3.73	3.33	4.05	0.55	0.67	0.58	0.61	
Organic	+ Biochar			3.38	4.08	3.68	4.48	0.61	0.74	0.63	0.66	
LSD 0.0	)5			0.10	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.08	
Effect of	f Bio-fertiliz	zers			1	r	r					
Uninoc.	, 40 kg N/fe	d		2.70	3.33	2.93	3.38	0.50	0.60	0.53	0.56	
Rhizobiı	<i>um</i> , 20 kg N	l/fed		3.30	4.03	3.60	4.15	0.59	0.73	0.62	0.65	
Strepto.	,20 kg N/fe	d		2.95	3.63	3.20	3.73	0.54	0.65	0.57	0.61	
Rhizobiı	um+Strept.,	20 kg N/fed		3.48	4.18	3.78	4.48	0.62	0.77	0.65	0.68	
LSD 0.0	)5			0.10	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.08	

Table-7: Fresh and dry weights (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) of clover shoots among two cutting cultivated under different treatments during two seasons.

Data in Table (8)showed the percentages of nitrogen content and crude protein among two cuts of berseem clover shoots cultivated under different fertilizer treatments in the two successive seasons conducted in the open field. The experimental results illustrated that the percentages of crude protein in the two cuttings indicated that the 2<sup>nd</sup> cut was always

higher in the percentages of each of nitrogen content and crude protein than the 1<sup>st</sup> cutting.

In addition, treatments containing rhizobium as a biofertilizer showed a high percentage of crude protein compared to rhizobium-free treatments. The presence of organic fertilizerwasmore suitable for improving the growth of berseem clover plants compared to biochar.

Codes	Soil	Biofertilizers	Mineral	Nitrogen (%)				Crude protein (%)			
	amended		nitrogen	1st Season		2 <sup>nd</sup> Season		1 <sup>st</sup> Season		2 <sup>nd</sup> Season	
			Kg/Fed	1 <sup>st</sup>	$2^{nd}$	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
				cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	Cutting
T01	Without	Uninoc.	40	2.21	2.29	2.41	2.62	13.81	14.31	15.06	16.38
T02		Rhizobium	20	2.45	2.8	2.65	3.1	15.31	17.5	16.56	19.38
T03		Strept.	20	2.32	2.77	2.42	2.91	14.5	17.31	15.13	18.19
T04		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	2.54	3.01	3.1	3.25	15.88	18.81	19.38	20.31
T05	Organic	Uninoc.	40	2.34	2.39	2.53	2.67	14.63	14.94	15.81	16.69
T06		Rhizobium	20	2.42	2.91	2.54	3.32	15.13	18.19	15.88	20.75
T07		Strept.	20	2.45	2.7	2.64	3.12	15.31	16.88	16.5	19.5
T08		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	2.53	3.07	2.73	3.36	15.81	19.19	17.06	21
T09	Biochar	Uninoc.	40	2.11	2.48	2.34	3.2	13.19	15.5	14.62	20
T10		Rhizobium	20	2.32	2.96	2.54	3.32	14.5	18.5	15.88	20.75
T11		Strept.	20	2.21	2.77	2.41	2.96	13.81	17.31	15.06	18.5
T12		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	2.35	2.91	2.53	3.23	14.69	18.19	15.81	20.19

Table-8: Nitrogen and crude protein percentages among two cutting clover cultivated under different fertilizer treatments among two seasons.

T13	Organic +	Uninoc.	2.38	2.58	2.57	2.97	14.88	16.13	16.06	18.56	
T14	Biochar	Biochar Rhizobium 20			2.96	3.01	3.21	15.5	18.5	18.81	20.06
T15	Strept. 20				2.83	2.73	3.31	15.88	17.69	17.06	20.69
T16		2.66	3.18	2.93	3.41	16.63	19.88	18.31	21.31		
Effect of Soil amended											
Without				2.38	2.72	2.65	2.97	14.88	16.98	16.53	18.57
Organic				2.44	2.77	2.61	3.12	15.22	17.30	16.31	19.49
Biochar				2.25	2.78	2.46	3.18	14.05	17.38	15.34	19.86
Organic	+ Biochar			2.52	2.89	2.81	3.23	15.72	18.05	17.56	20.16
Effect o	f Bio-fertilize	ers									
Uninoc.,	, 40kgN/fed			2.26	2.44	2.46	2.87	14.13	15.22	15.39	17.91
Rhizobium, 20kgN/fed				2.42	2.91	2.69	3.24	15.11	18.17	16.78	20.24
Strepto.2	20kgN/fed	2.38	2.77	2.55	3.08	14.88	17.30	15.94	19.22		
Rhizobiı	um+Strept.201	kgN/fed		2.52	3.04	2.82	3.31	15.75	19.02	17.64	20.70

Combination of rhizobium, actinomycetes organic fertilizer, biochar in the presence of low nitrogen fertilizer gave the highest crude protein content as shown in (T16). The presence of organic amended and *Rhizobium* plus Streptomyces as biofertilizers gave higher yield of fresh weight (Ton/Fed)compared to control soil (Uninoc.+40 kg N/fed, T01) (Table 9). Treatment T16 containing *Rhizobium* and

streptomycete isolate as biofertilizers, organic fertilizer, low concentration of nitrogen fertilizer and biochar appeared the highest fresh weight per Feddan compared to all treatments. Similar observations were recorded in case of berseem clover yield of dry weight (Ton/Fed) of this study among the two cuttings in the two seasons.

Table-9: Fresh and dry weights (Ton/Feddan) of clover among two cutting cultivated under different treatments during two seasons.

Codes	Soil	Biofertilizers	Mineral	Fresh weights (Ton/Feddan)			Dry weights (Ton/Feddan)				
	amended		nitrogen	1st Season		2 <sup>nd</sup> Season		1st Season		2nd Season	
			Kg/Fed	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
				cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	Cutting
T01	Without	Uninoc.	40	8.4	11.6	9.2	10.4	1.728	2.04	1.848	2.004
T02		Rhizobium	20	12.8	15.6	14	15.2	2.328	2.84	2.44	2.568
T03		Strept.	20	10.8	12.8	11.6	12.4	1.92	2.36	2.04	2.204
T04		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	13.6	16.4	14.8	16	2.424	2.96	2.544	2.696
T05	Organic	Uninoc.	40	11.6	14	12.4	13.6	2.136	2.52	2.248	2.364
T06		Rhizobium	20	13.2	16	14.4	15.6	2.352	2.88	2.44	2.568
T07		Strept.	20	12	14.8	12.8	14	2.28	2.68	2.4	2.528
T08		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	13.6	16.4	14.8	18	2.472	3.04	2.568	2.692
T09	Biochar	Uninoc.	40	10.8	13.2	12	14.4	1.92	2.36	2.04	2.168
T10		Rhizobium	20	12.8	15.6	14	16.8	2.328	2.84	2.448	2.536
T11		Strept.	20	11.6	14.4	12.8	15.6	2.112	2.6	2.232	2.44
T12		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	13.6	16.4	14.4	18	2.424	2.96	2.536	2.616
T13	Organic +	Uninoc.	40	12.4	14.4	13.2	15.6	2.232	2.6	2.352	2.404
T14	Biochar	Rhizobium	20	14	17.2	15.2	18.8	2.496	3.08	2.608	2.768
T15		Strept.	20	12.8	16	14	17.6	2.304	2.8	2.404	2.536
T16		Rhizobium+Strept.	20	14.8	17.6	16.4	19.6	2.664	3.28	2.78	2.84
LSD 0.05				1.3	1.6	1.5	1.8	0.012	0.014	0.012	0.013
Effect of Soil amended											
Without				11.40	14.10	12.40	13.50	2.10	2.55	2.22	2.37
Organic				12.60	15.30	13.60	15.30	2.31	2.78	2.41	2.54
Biochar				12.20	14.90	13.30	16.20	2.20	2.69	2.31	2.44
Organic + Biochar				13.50	16.30	14.70	17.90	2.42	2.94	2.54	2.64
LSD 0.05				0.40	0.80	0.40	0.80	0.24	0.36	0.28	0.32
Effect of Bio-fertilizers											
Uninoc., 40kgN/fed				10.80	13.30	11.70	13.50	2.00	2.38	2.12	2.24
Rhizobium, 20kgN/fed				13.20	16.10	14.40	16.60	2.38	2.91	2.48	2.61
Strepto. ,20kgN/fed				11.80	14.50	12.80	14.90	2.15	2.61	2.27	2.43
Rhizobium+Strept. ,20kgN/fed				13.90	16.70	15.10	17.90	2.50	3.06	2.61	2.71
LSD 0.05				0.40	0.80	0.40	0.80	0.24	0.36	0.28	0.32

#### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Soils, Water and Environment Research Institute (SWERI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation for funding this research experiment. The research team would like to thank Dr. Badawy Othman, Emeritus Prof. of Agric. Microbiology, Department of Agric. Microbiol., Faculty of Agric., Ain Shams University for his sincere help to prepare the inoculum of streptomycete strain

#### REFERENCES

- Al Abboud, M.A., Ghany, T.M.A. and Alawlaqi, M.M. (2014). Role of biofertilizers in agriculture: a brief review. Mycopath, **11**(2).
- Ananata, G. (2002). A review on organic farming for sustainable. Agriculture B.Sc. (Ag.), Final Year Exam Roll No: 32.
- Attanandana, T., Chairerk, S., Somchai, K. and Boonsan, T. (1999). Simple determination of NPK in the soils. Warasan Din LaePui.
- Chapman, H.D., and Pratt, P.F. (1962). Methods of analysis for soils, plants and waters. Soil Science,**93**(1), 68.
- Chen, J.H. (2006). The combined use of chemical and organic fertilizers and/or biofertilizer for crop growth and soil fertility. International Workshop on Sustained Management of the Soil-Rhizosphere System for Efficient Crop Production and Fertilizer Use, **16**(20), 1–11.
- Clark, F.E. (1965). Agar-Plate Method for total microbial count. Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 2 Chemical and Microbiological Properties,**9**, 1460– 66.
- Cottenie, A., Verloo, M., Kikens, L., Velghe, G. and Camerlynck, R. (1982). Analytical problems and method in chemical plant and soil analysis. Hand Book Ed. A. Cottenie, Gent, Belgium, 190.
- El-Nahrawy, M.A.Z. (2005). The vital role of Egyptian clover in agriculture. Proceedings of the 11th Conference of Agronomy, November 15-16, 2005, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.55-62.
- Ewees, M.S.A. and Abdel Hafeez, A.A.A. (2010). Response of maize grain yield to a partial substitution of N-mineral by applying organic manure, bio-inoculation and elemental sulphur as an alternative strategy to avoid the possible chemical pollution. Egypt. J. Soil Sci.,**50**(1), 141– 166.
- Ghaffarzadeh, M. (1997). Economic and biological benefits of intercropping berseem clover with oat in corn-soybean-oat rotation. Journal of Production Agriculture, **10**, 314-319.
- Gomez, K.A., and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley & Sons.
- Graves, W.L, Williams, W.A., and Thomson, C.D. (1996). Berseem clover: A winter annual forage for California agriculture. University of California Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Publication No. 21536, pp. 12.

used in this study. Authors would like to thank Eng. AmlAlaaeldin Mohamed for her sincere help, before passing away, to accomplish this work.

- Hamdollah, E., Ahmad, G. and Abdollah, J. (2009). Intercropping of cereals and legumes for forage production. Notulae Scientia Biologicae, **1**, 7-13.
- Hames, B., Scarlata, C. and Sluiter, A. (2008). Determination of protein content in biomass. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 1-5.
- Hatim, A.S. (2013). Effect of bio-organic fertilizers on soil fertility and yield of groundnut (*Arachishypogaea* L.) in Malakal, Area, Republic of South Sudan. J. Nat. Resour& Environ. Stu.,**19**(12), 14-18.
- Helmy, A.A., Abo El-Soud, A.A., Desoky, A.H. and Rajab, M.N. (2014). The negative impacts of mineral nitrogen fertilization on inoculated berseem clover with rhizobium. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.,29(5), 317-335.
- Ismail, F. S. and Hassanen S.A. (2019). Improvement of Egyptian clover yield and quality by using bio and organic fertilizers in newly cultivated saline soil. J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mansoura Univ., 10(3), 147 – 147-155.
- Jackson, M.L. (2005). Soil chemical analysis: Advanced course. UW-Madison Libraries Parallel Press.
- James, J., Beth, W. and Joan, Mc.K. (1998). Forage Production from Spring Cereals and Cereal-Pea Mixtures. Ministry of Agriculture Food & Rural Affairs C.F., Ontario
- Kumar, R., Kumawat, N.and Sahu, Y. K. (2017). Role of biofertilizers in agriculture. Pop Kheti,**5**(4), 63– 66.
- Kumari, B., Mallick, M.A., Solanki, M.K., Solanki, A.C., Hora, A. and Guo, W. (2019). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): modern prospects for sustainable agriculture. In Plant Health under Biotic Stress (pp. 109–127). Springer.
- Kunelius, T. (1997). Growing Berseem Clover, Percian Clover and Hairy Vetch. Crops and Livestock Research Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. Agri-Info, 97-05, Bulletin. 10 p.
- Mohamed, S.H., Al-Saeedi, T.A., and Sadik, A.S. (2013). Halotolerant streptomycetes isolated from soil at Taif region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) I: Purification, salt tolerance range, biological and molecular identification. African Journal of Biotechnology,**12**(19), 2565-2574.
- Nicolás, M.F., Hungria, M. and Arias, C.A.A. (2006). Identification of quantitative trait loci controlling nodulation and shoot mass in progenies from two

Brazilian soybean cultivars. Field Crops Research, **95**(2–3), 355–366.

- Noufal, E.H.A., Ali, M.A.M. and Abd El-Aal, M.M.M. (2018). Effect of rhizobium inoculation and foliar spray with salicylic and ascorbic acids on growth, yield and seed quality of pea plant (*Pisumsativum* L.) grown on a salt affected soil, New Valley-Egypt. 4<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Biotechnology Applications in Agriculture Held During, 4–7.
- Oad, F.C., Agha, S.K. and Buriro, U.A. (2004). Growth and yield characters of inoculated and uninoculated soybean undernitrogen broadcast and fertigation practices. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology (Pakistan).
- Osman, G., Mostafa, S. and Mohamed S.H. (2007). Antagonistic and insecticidal activities of some *Streptomyces* isolates. Pakistan Journal of Biotechnology,**4**(1-2): 65-71.

- Page, A.L., Miller, R.H. and Keeney, D.R. (1982). Methods of soil analysis part 2: chemical and microbiological properties second edition. Agronomy 920 Am. Soc. Agron. Inc. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Inc. Pub. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Pepe, J.F. and Robert E.H. (1975). Plant Height, Protein Percentage, and Yield Relationships in Spring Wheat 1.Crop.
- Ramadan, A.W. (1997). Response of Berseem-Ryegrass Mixture to Fertilization. M.Sc. Thesis, Ain Shams University, Cairo.
- Stevenson, I.L. (1959). Dehydrogenase Activity in Soils. Canadian Journal of Microbiology,5(2), 229–35.
- Thalooth A.T., Sary G.A., El-Nagar H.M., El-Kramany M.F., Kabesh M.O. and Hanna G.S. (2015). Yield and Quality Response of Ryegrass, Egyptian clover and their mixtures to different sources of fertilizers. Agricultural Sciences, **6**, 137-145.

Publisher's note: PJBT remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. To a visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.