DETECTION APPROACHES TO ANALYSISEYE PATHOLOGIES: A SURVEY

D.M.D.Preethi^{1*} and VE.Jayanthi²

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering, PSNA College of Engineering and Technology Dindigul,India, dmd_p@rediffmail.com

²Department of Electronics and communication Engineering, PSNA College of Engineering and Technology,

Dindigul, India jayanthi.ramu@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Authentication and identification purpose for we need security in all over the world today. Biometric provides a promising solutions meeting all demands. Biometrics identification exploits physiological and behavioral physiognomies to authenticate a person's identity includes iris pattern, facial, palm print etc. Iris is suited for personal identification because of its unique biological properties. In some circumstances iris change with mature and mutilation due to injury or diseases. Impediments in iris recognition affect the structural and textural features. In this paper, we have provided a comprehensive study of various ocular diseases in particular such as exudate, cataract, glaucoma, which affect the retinal structures. Exiting methodology used to diagnosis the ocular diseases are clearly explained and it will be helpful for the iris recognition system.

Keywords-authentication ,security, diseases, iris

I. INTRODUCTION

Biometric verification is the process in which a person can be uniquely identified by evaluating one or more distinguish biological markers either physical characteristics are facial shape, finger print, hand geometry, earlobe geometry, retina and iris patterns, DNA, etc., or behavioral characteristics like voice, signature, typing rhythm, etc. As per the National Research Council, 2010, the conventional human identification systems are "inherently fallible" because they identify people within certain degrees of certainty. Iris structure is not the same throughout the life span. It may get affected structurally and textually due to many reasons.

A. Ocular Diseases analysis

The frequently happening ocular diseases with approximate percentage of diseases occurrence in the iris is shown in of Fig. 1.



Fig. 1.Ocular diseases analysis

Important factor leading to burning eyes are heavy workload on computer and fatigue. Eye dryness, allergies, eyestrain and eye fatigue are the consequences of this disease and it is clearly exemplified [1]. Wrecked blood vessel in white part of the eye is the symptom for Bloody Eye (Sub-conjunctival Hemorrhage) is illustrated [2]. Bad hygiene and improper care of handling lenses[3] are the main causes of contact lens problems are illuminated [4]. Moisture that leaks from the eyes and dries[6], causing eyelashes to mat together is the sign of eye drainage is expounded [7]. Characteristics of eyelid twitching(eye muscle spasm)is discussed [8]. High intraocular pressure inside eye is a source of glaucoma [9] and its causes are designated [10]. Cataract, iridocyclitis and corneal haze are the causes of eye disorders is described [11]. Leakage of proteins and lipids from the bloodstream into the retina via damaged blood vessels forms exudates[15] and its physiognomies is specified [15]. Gastrointestinal diseases cause structural changes in the iris was discussed [16]. The diseases mentioned above are explained [17]. Performance depends upon several factor such as different pupil dilations, the degradation from equipment, changes in data acquisition procedure is enlightened [18]. Person with an aniridia [19] would find that they could not enroll in an iris biometric system .It is caused by a deletion on chromosome 11. Eye care professional to pay close attention to ocular changes in their diabetic patients so that they can be treated early and effectively is specified [22].

II.Review of Diseases

Due to ocular diseases iris affected due to age, medication and family history. Let us discuss major cause of diseases such as exudate, cataract and glaucoma. Exudate detection methods and merits and demerits are illustrated in section 2.1. Cataracts analysis are given in section 2.2. Glaucoma analysis is given in 2.3.

Author	Technique/	Merits	Demerits	
/Citation	Algorithm			
Gardner et al., [20]	Back Propagation Neural Network	Detection accuracy is 93.1%.	Sometimes all of the patients were detected during thres hold	
Sinthanay othin et al., [21]	RRG (Recursive Region Growing) with 'Moat operator'	Sensitivity is 88.5% Specificity is 99.7%	Difficult to discriminate diabetic retinopathy	
Wang et al., [22]	Major depressive disorder (MDD) classifier	Accuracy 100% achieved.	Accuracy drops a little. Specificity is 77 %.	
al., [23]	Thresholding	the cost, improves efficiency by 94.8%.	result is poor.	
Huiqi et al., [24]	Principal component Analysis(PCA) and Modified active Shape model ASM	Sensitivity is 100% d Specificity is 71%.	Generalization of models could be improved when large database used.	
Usher et al., [25]	RRG, adaptive intensity thresholding (AIT)	It reduces the cost, improves efficiency by 94.8%.	Still human grader may needed for Screening process	
Goh et al., [26]	Minimum distance discriminant	Effective method to identify smaller exudates.	Artefacts incorrectly detected images some times.	
Ege et al., [27]	Bayesian, Mahalanobis and k-Nearest Neighbour classifier	Mahalanobis best, Sensitivity of exudates is 99%.	Computational cost is high.	

Table I. Technique followed for diagnosis exudate

B. Cataract Analysis

In 1998 world health report published that 43% of global blindness was caused by cataracts and it is extended up to 47.8% [31]. Proteins inside the lens of aged populace are prone to tie and turn into stiffer to form cloudy spots (cataracts) is discussed [32]. More than 50% of all Americans at the age of 65 or above suffer from age-related cataracts in U.S. [33]. Figure

A. Exudates Analysis

Exudate is symptoms of diseases leading to blindness such as diabetic retinopathy and wet macular degeneration. Table I highlights the features of exudate detection techniques with merits and demerits. Exudate [17] is formed by the leakage of proteins and lipids from the bloodstream into the retina via damaged blood vessels [28]. Iris pattern is the most unfair of facial biometrics. Age, disease and medication are the important factors that affects the textual features in retina [29]. Eve pathology on iris recognition scrutinizes that the exudate could cause iris recognition systems to fail. Failure rates of local and non-local exudates are denoted as 20.3% and 13.8% respectively [30]. 2 shows the percentage of structural changes occur due to cataract formation [39].



Fig. 2. Percentage of iris changes due to cataract

C. Glaucoma

Glaucoma is an optic nerve disease resulting in the loss of vision. The survey of computer aided diagnosis of ocular diseases is discussed [40]. Macular degeneration due to diabetics is explained in [41]. Segmentation methods used for glaucoma are listed in Table II.

Table II. Segmentation Methods followed for diagnosis glaucoma	

Author Citation	Segmentation methods	Localization Method	Merits	Demerits
Walter et al.,.		Brightest Region	Straight forward	Fails to localize brightest area
[42]		Identification	approach	
Osareh et al.,	Optic Disk detection	Template Matching	It is more efficient due	Not able to Differentiate artifacts
[43]	Centre localization		to highest pixel intensity.	across retina.
Hoover et al.,		Pointing vasculature	Efficient in term of	It avoids miss-localization of the
[44]		structure	computational time.	optic disk
Kose et al.,		Morphological	It improves the	CDR is not factor for large size
[45]	Optic Disk Extraction	Approaches /Thresholding	accuracy by 90%.	ONH patients
Kande et al.,[46]		Contour Modeling	Template is not needed.	Error during testing occur.
Kim et al., [47]		Shape Approximation	Reduces the blood	Accuracy is poor, due to less number
		Modeling	vessel occlusion.	of factors trained.
Nayak et.al., [48]	Optic	Morphological	90% of accuracy	Segmentation accuracy is less.
	cup Extraction	Approaches	achieved.	
Wong [49]		Gradient flow Approaches	84% overlapping score.	Not visible in contrast color image.
Tan [50]	Peripapillary Atrophy	Localization	Accuracy is 92%.	Reliability scorer< 0.85
Muramatsu et al.,	(PPA) Detection	Extraction	It improves the	Increased disc size, does not indicate
[51]			accuracy by 73%.	glaucoma precisely.
Lee et al.,	Retinal Nerve Fibre	OCT/SLP (Scanning	71% of accuracy	Only preliminary work are done.
[52]	Layer Defect	Laser Polarimetry)	achieved.	

III. Conclusion

Inevitable properties of biometric used to increase as identity of the security system. Biometric is essential for personalities and humanity. Iris recog-nition is prospective to be at the vanguard of this development and has already been amalgamated in to numerous solicitations. This study show that the compounding aspect of prospective eye pathologies such as exudate, cataract and glaucoma in the popu-lation as a whole. Disease characteristics are analy-zed for diagnosis. The above such diseases cannot be cured entirely. Diagnosis at the prior stage can help clinicians to treat it consequently and to inhibit the patient prominent to blindness. Further research is required in to impending glitches for eye pathology might cause for the key biometric technology.

REFERENCES

- [1].http://www.mdguidelines.com/burn-of-eye
- [2].http://www.afb.org/info/about-afb/glossary-of-eyeconditions
- [3].http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Effects_of_longterm_contact_lens_wear_on_the_cornea
- [4]. J. M. Gonzalez-Meijome, J. Gonzalez-Perez, A. Cervino, E. Yebra-Pimentel and M. A. Parafita, Changes in Corneal Structure with Continuous Wear of High-Dk Soft Contact Lenses: A Pilot Study. Optometry and Vision Science 80(6): (2003)
- [5].http://www.nytimes.com/health/guides/disease/cataract
- [6].http://www.notadryeye.org/all-about-dry-eye-syndrome
- [7].http://teenswithlupus.weebly.com/eye-involvement.html
- [8].http://www.allaboutvision.com/conditions/eyetwitching.htm
- [9].http://www.webmd.com/eye-health/acute-angle-closureglaucoma
- [10]. J Cheng, J. Liu, D.W, Wong, N.M. Tan, B.H. Lee, C. Cheung, M. Baskaran, T.Y. Wong, T. Aung, Focal edge association to to glaucoma diagnosis. Conf. Proc IEEE Eng. Med Biol Soc. Pp. 448 (2011).
- [11].http://www.eyes.com/iris-recognition
- [12].http://www.aoa.org/patients-and-public/eye-and-vision-problems
- [13].Chakravarthy U., Wong T.Y., Fletcher A., Piault E., Evans C., Zlateva G., Buggage R., Pleil A. and Mitchell P., Clinical risk factors for age-related macular degeneration: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Ophthalmology 1471-2415-10-31 (2010)
- [14]. Akram, M. U., Khalid, S. and Shoab, A. Khan, Identification and Classification of Micro-aneurysms for Early Detection of Diabetic Retinopathy. Pattern Recognition (Elsevier) 46(1): 107-116 (2013)
- [15]. Akram M. U., Tariq A., Anjum M. A. and Javed M. Y., Automated Detection of Exudates in Colored Retinal Images for Diagnosis of Diabetic Retinopathy. OSA Journal of Applied Optics 51(20): 4858-4866 (2012).
- [16].Lin Ma, David Zhang, Naimin Li, Yan Cai, Wangmeng Zuo and Kuanquan Wang, Iris-Based Medical Analysis by Geometric Deformation Features. IEEE Journal Of Biomedical and Health Informatics 17(1): (2013).
- [17].Preethi D.M.D. and Jayanthi V.E., Ocular Disease Diagnosis based on LBP and Gabor filter. International Journal of Science Engineering Research 5(5): 297-304 (2014).
- [18]. Nadezhda Sazonova, Fang Hua, Xuan Liu, Jeremiah Remus, Arun Ross, Lawrence Hornak and Stephanie Schuckers, A Study on Quality-adjusted Impact of Time

Lapse on Iris Recognition. Proc. SPIE 8371, Maryland, USA, Pp. 8371 (2011).

- [19]. http://www.aniridia.net/what is.htm
- [20].Gardner, G.G., Keating, D., Williamson, T.H. and Elliot, A.T., Automatic detection of diabetic retinopathy using an artificial neural network: a screening tool', British Journal of Ophthalmology 80(11): 940–944 (1996).
- [21]. Sinthanayothin, C., Boyce, J.F., Williamson, T.H. and Cook, H.L., Automated Detection of Diabetic Retinopathy on Digital Fundus Image. Diabetic Medicine Journal 19(2): 105–112 (2002)
- [22].Wang, Hsu, H., Goh, W. and Lee, K.G., An Effective Approach to Detect Lesions in Color Retinal Images. Proceedings of IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Pp. 181–186 (2000)
- [23].Phillips, R.P., Forrester, J. and Sharp, P., Automated detection and quantification of retinal exudate. Graefe Arch Clin. Exp.Ophthalmol 231: 90–94 (1993)
- [24].Huiqi, L. and Chutatape, O., A model-based approach for automated feature extraction in fundus images. International Conference On Computer Vision (ICCV), Pp.394–399 (2003)
- [25]. Usher, D., Dumskyj, M., Himaga, M., Williamson, T.H., Nussey, S. and Boyce, J., Automated Detection of Diabetic Retinopathy in Digital Retinal Images: a Tool for Diabetic Retinopathy Screening. Diabet Med. 21: 84–90 (2004).
- [26]. Goh, K.G., Hsu, W., Lee, Li. and Wang. H., ADRIS: an Automatic Diabetic Retinal Image Screening system. In: Krzyszt of J.C., editor. Medical data mining and knowledge discovery, Physica-Verlag; Heidelberg, Germany Pp. 181–210 (2001).
- [27]. Ege, B.M., Hejlese, O.K., Larsen, O.V., Moller, K., Jennings, B., Kerr, D. and Cavan, D.A., Screening for Diabetic Retinopathy Using Computer Based Image Analysis and Statistical Classification. Comput. Meth Programs Biomed. 62: 165–175 (2000).
- [28]. Doaa Youssef and Nahed H. Solouma, Accurate Detection Of Blood Vessels Improves The Detection Of Exudates In Color Fundus Images. J. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine 108(3): 1052–1061 (2012).
- [29]. Rankin, D. M., Scotney, B. W., Morrow, P.J. and Pierscionek, B. K., IrisRecognition Failure Over Time :The Effects Of Texture. Sciendirect 46(2): 611-612 (2013)
- [30]. Zhang, S. Wu and Y. Zeng, Iris recognition based on key image feature extraction. Journal of Medical Engineering and Technology 32(3): 228-234 (2008).
- [31].http://www.who.int/entity/whr/1998/en/whr98 en.pdf
- [32]. B. A. Henderson, R. Pineda, II, C. Ament, S. H. Chen and J. Y. Kim, Essentials of Cataract Surgery. Thorofare, NJ: SLACK, (2007).
- [33]. http://www.rpbusa.org/rpb/eye_info/cataract/cataract_ facts.pdf
- [34]. T. Y. Wong, S. C. Loon and S. M. Saw, The epidemiology of age related eye diseases in Asia. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 90: 506–511 (2006).
- [35]. L. Dhir, N. E. Habib, D. M. Monro, S. Rakshit, "Effect of cataract surgery and pupil dilation on iris pattern recognition for personal authentication", the American Academy of Ophthalmology Annual Meeting, Atlanta, 2008.
- [36].Mateusz Trokielewicz, Adam Czajka, Piotr Maciejewicz, Cataract influence on iris recognition perfor-

mance. Published in SPIE Proceedings 9290: Pp.1-14 (2014).

- [37].Yuan, X., Zhou, H. and Shi, P., Iris recognition: a biometric method after refractive surgery, J. Zhejiand Univ Sci A 8(8): 1227-1231 (2007).
- [38].R. Roizenblatt, P. Schor, F. Dante, J. Roizenblatt and R. Belfort, Iris recognition as a biometric method after cataract surgery. Biomed. Eng. Online 3(1): 2–8 (2004)
- [39]. Jay Thompson and Naheed Lakhani, Cataract Review Article, Primary Care Ophthalmology **42**(3): 409-423 (2015).
- [40]. Zhang Zhuo, Ruchir Srivastava, Liu Huiying, Chen Xiangyu, Duan Lixin, Wong Wing Kee Damon, Chee Keong Kwoh, Wong Tien Yin and Liu Jiang, A Survey On Computer Aided Diagnosis For Ocular Diseases. J.BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, Media, DOI: 10.1186/1472-6947-14-80 (2014).
- [41]. Jyoti Prakash Medhi,M.K., Nath,S. and Dandapat, Automatic Grading Of Macular Degeneration From Color Fundus Images. International conference on Information and Communication Technologies (WICT) (2012).
- [42].Walter T. and Klein J.C., Segmentation Of Color Fundus Images Of The Human Retina:Detection Of The Optic Disc And The Vascular Tree Using Morphological Techniques. Proceedings Of The Second International Symposium On Medical Data Analysis Pp. 282–287 (2001).
- [43]. Osareh, A., Mirmehdi, M., Thomas, B. T. and Markham R., Classification and localisationof diabetic-related eye disease. Proceedings of 7th European conference on computer vision—Part IV, 2: 1625–1629 (2002).
- [44]. Hoover A. and M.Goldbaum, Locating the optic nerve in a retinal image using the fuzzy convergence of the blood vessels. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging 22: 951–958 (2003)

- [45]. Kose, C. and Ikibas, C., Statistical techniques for detection of optic disc and macula and parameters measurement in retinal fundus images. Journal of Medical and Biological Engineering 31: 395–404 (2010)
- [46]. Kande G., Subbaiah P. and Savithri T., Feature extraction in digital fundus images. Medical Image Analysis 29; (2009).
- [47]. Kim S.K., Kong H.J., Seo J.M., Cho B.J., Park K.H., Hwang J.M., et al., Segmentation of optic nerve head using warping and ransac. Proceedings of the 29th Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBS. Pp. 900–903 (2007).
- [48]. Nayak J., Acharya R., Bhat P., Shetty N. and Lim T.C., Automated diagnosis of glaucoma using digital fundus images. Journal of Medical Systems 33: 337–346 (2009).
- [49].Wong D., Liu J., Lim J., Jia X., Yin F., Li H., et al., Level-set based automatic cup-todisc ratio determination using retinal fundus images in argali. In: 30th Annual international conference of the IEEE engineering in medicine and biology society Pp. 2266– 2269 (2009).
- [50].Tan N., Liu J., Lim J., Zhang Z., Lu S., Li H., et al., Automatic detection of pathological myopia using variational level set. In: 31st Annual International Conference
- [51].Muramatsu C., Hatanaka Y., Sawada A., Yamamoto T., Fujita H., Computerized detection of peripapillary chorioretinal atrophy by texture analysis. In: Conference Proceedings IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society Pp. 5947–50 (2011).
- [52].Lee S., Kim K., Seo J., Kim D., Chung H., Park K., et al., Automated quantification of retinal nerve fiber layer atrophy in fundus photograph. In: 26th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society Pp. 1241–1243 (2004).